Evaluation report Stochastic Models in Production and Logistic

191531830   *dr. J.C.W. van Ommeren*

The evaluation committee has evaluated the course Stochastic Models in Production and Logistic by sending an online questionnaire to 30 students. 9 students filled in the questionnaire, which gives a response of 30%.

The course Stochastic Models in Production and Logistic scores an average mark of 3.7, which is sufficient for a master course. First of all, it has to be stated that not too many conclusions can be drawn from the questionnaire looking at the low number of respondents.

The course scores some insufficient marks, "during the lectures, the subject became clear to me" (3.1) and "the use of black- or whiteboard, sheets or PowerPoint was good" (3.1) stand out the most. A student mentions that he likes the use of the whiteboard during the lectures, but the PowerPoint file including all sheets did not explain the subject very well. The other insufficient mark is for the clearness of the assignments (3.4). It is mentioned that the assignments did have some uncertainties. All the other marks score sufficient to good, with the availability of the teacher even scoring a 4.4. Discussions outside of the lecture were rated very helpful by one student.

These are the main conclusions of the evaluation. The interpretation is based on the remarks of the respondents. For an overview of the results, see the graph at the end of this report.

Recommendations of previous evaluation

No previous report was found. It is therefore not possible to state the recommendations of the last evaluation.

Recommendations by the committee

The quality of the course can be improved. Based on the results of the questionnaire, some recommendations for improvement are provided. The most important recommendations are:

- Improve the sheets; it seems like this is one of the few problems this course still has. Improving the sheets could improve the course to become even better
- Make the assignments clearer; this helps the students to deliver good assignments exactly as expected from the lecturer.

Overview

- All marks are given on a Likert-scale from 1-5. For master courses, a mark of 3.5 or higher is sufficient.
- The height of the bars in the graph represents the mark. The thin line at the top of the bars gives the standard deviation.

	1	2	3	4	5

My overall appreciation of the course is:

The learning outcome of the course is relevant for my academic development

The contents of the course are interesting

The information about the course was adequate

The teacher was available for questions

The organization of the lectures was good

During the lectures, the subject became clear to me

The use of black- or whiteboard, sheets or powerpoint was good

The study material (written and electronic) covered the subjects sufficiently

The quality of the study material (e.g. layout, accessibility, level, index) was good

The requirements for the exam were clear

The exam / the assignment(s) was / were well related to the major subjects in the course

The questions / assignments were clear