Effect of motivational interviewing on adherence to wearing orthopedic shoes:

a multicentre cluster-randomized controlled trial



Ir. Stein Exterkate Biomedical Engineer Voetencentrum Wender



voetmax

ORTHOPEDIE

Co-authors: M. Jongebloed-Westra, P.M. ten Klooster, J.J. van Netten, C. Bode, H. Koffijberg, J.G. van Baal and J.E.W.C. van Gemert-Pijnen

UNIVERSITEIT TWENTE.

onMw



Problem

Adherence to orthopedic shoes is rather low, but to prevent re-ulcerations protective footwear is essential. (Bus et al., 2013; Bus et al., 2016; Waaijman et al., 2013)

higher patient satisfaction with the communication with their healthcare provider was associated with increased long-term use of orthopedic shoes (Van Netten et al., 2010)



Training podiatrists in Motivational Interviewing (MI) has potential. Podiatrist were able to apply MI at a solid beginner level while untrained podiatrists did not reach this level (Kaczmarek et al., 2021, Jongebloed-Westra et al, 2022)

Keukenkamp et al. (2018) presented very good one-week-effect of MI for wearing shoes at home (49% to 84%). Unfortunately, the effects were reduced to baseline level after 3 months (40%).







Evaluate the effectiveness of MI performed by a MI-trained podiatrist, *in improving adherence to wearing orthopedic shoes in comparison to usual care.*



Method Participants

InclusionDiabetes type 1 or 2≥18 yearsIWGDF 2019 Risk Categories 1-3Receiving orthopedic shoes

Exclusion Current foot ulcer

(As a result of which no OSA could be worn at the time of inclusion)
Active Charcot's neuro-arthropathy
Foot infection
Unable to walk
Unable to read or understand study

Research protocol (Jongebloed-Westra et al., 2021)



4

Method Instrumentation



Orthotimer temperature sensor



Misfit Shine 2[™]



Groningen algorithm - version 2 (GitHub; C.M. Hulshof, S.H. Exterkate, 2022)





Method Definition

 $Adherence^* = \frac{\Sigma \ steps \ wearing \ orthopedic \ shoes}{\Sigma \ steps} * 100\% \qquad (Waaijman \ et \ al., 2013)$

*criterium: At least 4 days of monitoring were required with at least one weekend day (Matthews et al., 2002, van Schooten et al., 2015)











All (n=121)	Intervention	Usual care	p-value
68.5±8.3	68.8±9.5	68.2±7.2	0.743
83 (68.6%)	36 (67.9%)	47 (69.1%)	0.888
38 (31.4%)	17 (32.1%)	21 (30.9%)	
12 (9.9%)	5 (9.4%)	7 (10.3%)	0.875
109 (90.1%)	48 (90.6%)	61 (89.7%)	
17.8±12.4	17.9±13.8	17.7±11.3	0.587
30.7±5.2	30.7±4.8	30.7±5.6	0.738
	All (n=121) 68.5±8.3 83 (68.6%) 38 (31.4%) 12 (9.9%) 109 (90.1%) 17.8±12.4 30.7±5.2	All (n=121) Intervention 68.5±8.3 68.8±9.5 83 (68.6%) 36 (67.9%) 38 (31.4%) 17 (32.1%) 12 (9.9%) 5 (9.4%) 109 (90.1%) 48 (90.6%) 17.8±12.4 17.9±13.8 30.7±5.2 30.7±4.8	All (n=121)InterventionUsual care 68.5 ± 8.3 68.8 ± 9.5 68.2 ± 7.2 $83 (68.6\%)$ $36 (67.9\%)$ $47 (69.1\%)$ $38 (31.4\%)$ $17 (32.1\%)$ $21 (30.9\%)$ $12 (9.9\%)$ $5 (9.4\%)$ $7 (10.3\%)$ $109 (90.1\%)$ $48 (90.6\%)$ $61 (89.7\%)$ 17.8 ± 12.4 17.9 ± 13.8 17.7 ± 11.3 30.7 ± 5.2 30.7 ± 4.8 30.7 ± 5.6



Results

Proportion of participants who sufficiently adhered (≥80%) to wearing their orthopedic shoes

	Intervention group	Control group	p-values
Short-term ITT (3 months)	15.1% (8/53)	30.9% (21/68)	0.044*
Long-term ITT (6 months)	13.2% (7/53)	22.1% (15/68)	0.210

Adherence (%) to orthopedic shoes

	Intervention group		Control group		p-values
	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	
3 months	50.9	43.8 - 57.9	60.9	55.0 - 66.8	0.029*
6 months	49.5	42.2 - 56.9	59.9	54.3 - 65.6	0.025*



Conclusion & Discussion

• The proportion adhered (≥80%) is lower than previous study.

- 48.6% (52/107) (Waaijman et al., 2013)
- On the basis of intention-to-treat, MI did not result in higher adherence to wearing OS in comparison to usual care





Further research

- Per protocol analyses
- Differences between podiatrists participants





Orthotimer



Activity Tracker (MisFit)





Correlation wearing time - adherence





Wearing time difference (intervention – after MI)









Mean daily wear of two weeks for the following periods: after MI (intervention), after meeting with MI podiatrist (hybrid), or after first month (control)



Within subject (intervention)





Before

After

Overall mean
 Datapoints
 Datapoints



Adherece during day



