

# Liquid Hydrogen Storage: Status and Future Perspectives

Hendrie Derking, Luuk van der Togt & Marcel Keezer

Cryoworld BV



Cryogenic Heat and Mass Transfer 4<sup>th</sup>- 5<sup>th</sup> November, Enschede, The Netherlands

**CHMT 2019** 

### Cryoworld BV

Design, Engineering, Simulation, Manufacturing, Installation and Testing of high-end cryogenic systems for liquid helium, liquid hydrogen and other liquefied gases.



### Outline

- Why hydrogen?
- Why liquid hydrogen?
- Design of liquid hydrogen storage tanks
- Performance of existing liquid hydrogen storage tanks
- Road to high performance liquid hydrogen storage tanks

![](_page_2_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Why hydrogen?

Reducing fossil fuels Very high energy density High potential for transportation, energy carrier and energy storage

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

"Green" production when using renewable energy sources

![](_page_3_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Hydrogen transportation potential FUEL CELL BUR Picture: Toyota Picture: BMW XCIENT FUELCE Hydrogen Picture: Hyundai Picture: ESA/CNES/ArianeSpace **Picture: Airbus** Picture: Sandia National Lab FUEL CELL ZERO/V cryoworld Picture: Toyota advanced cryogenics

CHMT'19 November 4th, 2019 Liquid Hydrogen Storage: Status and future perspectives H. Derking

### Hydrogen storage

#### Gaseous storage

Pressurized to 700-900 bar Storage at room temperature

#### Liquid storage

At atmospheric pressure Storage at 20.4 K In slush to increase density

#### Solid storage

Physisorption in porous materials Adsorbed on metal hydrides Complex compounds Metals and complexes with water

6

![](_page_5_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_8.jpeg)

CHMT'19 November 4th, 2019

### Liquid versus high-pressure gas storage

	Liquid storage	High pressure gas storage
Density	70.9 kg/m3 @ 1 bar, 20.3 K	39 kg/m3 @ 700 bar, 293 K
Safety	Low pressure system with low enthalpy Spill can lead to floor accumulation Intrinsically safe due to vacuum jacket Boil-off	Huge amount of potential energy Spill can lead to jet No safety barrier
Energy needed	~12 kWh/kg for liquefaction big expensive system boil-off during no use	~ 6 kWh/kg for compression small less expensive system No loss during no use
Handling	Liquid to liquid: by pumping or by gravity Liquid to HP gas: by efficient pumping Very fast filling Simple logistics	Gas to gas: pressure will balance Slow filling Complex logistics
Storage	Single tank	Long thick walled cylinder bundles
Cost of storage system	€300 / kg Long lifespan	€900 / kg Relatively short lifespan

![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

CHMT'19 November 4th, 2019

### Liquid hydrogen storage tanks

#### **Aviation**

Relatively small tank size

Low weight

Non-vacuum insulated

Higher evaporation could be accepted

#### <u>Materials</u>

- Aluminium alloys
- Composites
- Fibre reinforced polymers

#### **Ground-based**

Large tanks

Weight not very important

Vacuum-insulated

Zero boil-off

![](_page_7_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_16.jpeg)

## Liquid hydrogen storage tanks

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

NASA, 3800 m<sup>3</sup>, 270 t Boil-off ~12% H<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

JAXA (Kawasaki), 540 m<sup>3</sup>, 38 t

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

 $LH_2$  truck, < 50 m3, < 3.5 t

Largest storage tanks constructed for space applications. Spherical shape to optimize surface area to volume ratio. Most tanks made with perlite insulation. Boil-off rates of 1 - 5% / day.

CHMT'19 November 4th, 2019

![](_page_8_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_11.jpeg)

9

Dentals

### Heat flow into liquid hydrogen storage tank

- Insulation: radiation, convection, conduction
- Conduction through support system
  - low thermal conductivity materials
  - high strength materials
- Conduction through interconnecting piping system
  - Small cross-section (thin walled pipes)
  - Increase length
- Radiation from warmer parts of the container
  - Shield by using baffles
  - Optimize design, avoid direct view to warm parts
- Natural convection in vapour above liquid due to heating
- Ortho-para conversion

![](_page_9_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_14.jpeg)

CHMT'19 November 4th, 2019 Liquid Hydrogen Storage: Status and future perspectives H. Derking

## **Optimizing heat flows**

No boiling takes place!

All heat entering the liquid is absorbed primarly by convection.

#### Two types of heat flows

A: heat flows adsorbed in the liquid resulting in evaporation of the liquid

B: heat flows adsorbed in the cold vapour.

With good design, B heat flows may not contribute to the evaporation at all.

Minimize A heat flows and ensuring adsorbing B heat flows in cold vapour.

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

11

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

CHMT'19 November 4th, 2019

### **Ortho-para conversion**

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

In equilibrium:

25% para- $H_2$  / 75% ortho- $H_2$  at RT 50% para- $H_2$  / 50% ortho- $H_2$  at 77 K 99.8% para- $H_2$  / 0.2% ortho- $H_2$  at 20 K

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

Energy released during full conversion at 20 K is ~670 kJ/kg.

A catalyst (i.e. Iron(III) oxide) is used to during liquefaction to speed up the transition.

A small part (~4%) of released energy still will be adsorbed in liquid.

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

CHMT'19 November 4th, 2019

### Insulation

Insulation type	Examples	k-value (300 - 77 K) [mW/mK]	+	-
Insulation@atm pressure	Foams Powders Solid fibres Silica aerogels	~20 - 50	Low weight Relatively cheap Easy to produce	High heat load Need constant purging
Perlite @ 10 <sup>-2</sup> mbar		~1.0	Standard technology Good performance	Needs strong vacuum enclosure Heavy structure
Multilayer insulation (MLI) @ 10 <sup>-4</sup> mbar		0.0065 - 0.1	Excellent performance	Needs strong vacuum enclosure Heavy structure Most expensive solution

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Current status**

Most tanks made with perlite insulation, using  $LN_2$  technology. Boil-off rates of 1-5% / day.

### Why LN<sub>2</sub> based storage tanks?

- Experience from the past, standard product;
- Latent heat of H<sub>2</sub> is very high.

#### Liquid @ 1 bar abs.

		Latent heat
	Temperature	/ mass
	[K]	[kJ/kg]
Hydrogen	20.3	448.9
Nitrogen	77.2	199.3
Methane	111.5	511.1
Helium	4.2	20.8

![](_page_13_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

JAXA (Kawasaki), 540 m<sup>3</sup>, 38 t

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

CHMT'19 November 4th, 2019

### Reducing boil-off

The density of H2 is very low, resulting in a low volumetric latent heat!

To reduce the boil-off rate it would be better to use LHe technology:

- Multi-layer insulation in combination with high vacuum;
- Actively cooled radiation shields.

#### Liquid @ 1 bar abs.

		Latent heat		Latent heat	Factor of
	Temperature	/ mass	Density	/ volume	LH <sub>2</sub>
	[K]	[kJ/kg]	[kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	[kJ/m <sup>3</sup> ]	[-]
Hydrogen	20.3	448.9	70.9	31828	1.0
Nitrogen	77.2	199.3	806.6	160769	5.1
Methane	111.5	511.1	422.6	215933	6.8
Helium	4.2	20.8	125.0	2604	0.1

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

CHMT'19 November 4th, 2019

### Two examples

#### Large LHe storage @ CERN

- Volume:4 x 120 m<sup>3</sup>
- Boil off: ~0.20 g/s or 0.12%/day

### Conversion to LH<sub>2</sub>

- 0.01%/day or 0.01 g/s

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Small LHe storage @ CERN

- Volume: 5 m<sup>3</sup>
- Boil off: ~0.036 g/s or 0.5%/day

### Conversion to LH<sub>2</sub>

- 0.04%/day or 0.02 g/s

![](_page_15_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_13.jpeg)

CHMT'19 November 4th, 2019

### Conclusions

- Due to high liquefaction costs, zero boil-off should be the goal for all liquid hydrogen storage tanks.
- Currently, most ground -based liquid hydrogen storage tanks have perlite+vacuum insulation without active shielding, resulting in boil-off rates of 1-5%/day.
- Boil-off could be reduced to 0.01-0.05%/day by using storage tanks based on LHe technology (MLI insulation and active shielding).
- In case of large storage tanks, boil-off gas could be re-liquefied to reach zero-boil off.

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thanks for your attention