# **Complete Transient Droplet Evaporation Modelling: Deviation from the d<sup>2</sup> Law under Cryogenic Conditions**

Joshua Finneran

Francois Nadal, Colin Garner

Wolfson School of Mechanical, Electrical and Manufacturing Engineering Loughborough University

4<sup>th</sup> November 2019



## Contents

### 1. Problem Definition

### **Methodology**

- 2. Governing Equations
- 3. Modelling Procedure

### The Knowledge Gap

- 4. Current Droplet Evaporation Understanding
- 5. Can we take a Steady Gas Phase for Granted?

### **Results**

- 6. Full Transient Solution
- 7. Characterising the Gas Phase Transients
- 8. Quantifying Sensitivity of Gas Phase Transients to Dimensionless Numbers
- 9. Case Study Example LN2 Evaporating in Air

### 10. <u>Conclusions</u>

## **1. Problem Definition**

Single liquid droplet in quiescent, infinite gaseous medium



Assumptions:

- 1. Spherical droplet
- 2. Microgravity  $\rightarrow$  symmetric
- 3. Local phase equilibrium at droplet surface
- 4. Thin phase transition zone
- 5. Soret/ Dufour effect neglected
- 6. Radiative heat transfer neglected
- 7. Incompressible liquid
- 8. Ideal gas mixture
- 9. Pure conduction within liquid (no convection)

10. Constant specific heats

- 11. Constant transport properties
- 12. Incompressible gas phase
- 13. Negligible viscous/ pressure work

14. Quasi-steady gas phase15. Quasi-steady liquid phase

## **2.** Governing Equations

**Dimensionless variables** 

## **3. Modelling Procedure**



# 4. Current Droplet Evaporation Understanding – Quasi-steady Solution & Liquid Phase Transients



Full Quasi-Steady (QS) 
$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = 0$$

Analytical solution;

$$\frac{d \ \tilde{a}^2}{d \tilde{t}} = -2\rho_r \ln(1+B_M)$$
  
Quasi-steady evaporation time  $\tau_{QS} = \frac{1}{2\rho_r \ln(1+B_M)}$ 



Liquid heating/ cooling introduces well known transients and deviations from d<sup>2</sup> Law

## 5. Can we take a Steady Gas Phase for Granted?



Steady temperature profile requires infinite energy?

**Steady mass fraction profile** requires infinite vapour mass?

Is quasi-steady gas phase always valid?

Typical evaporation time 
$$t_{ev} \sim \frac{a_i^2 \rho_l}{2 \Gamma_{\infty} \ln(1+B_M)}$$
  
Typical gas-phase response time  $t_g \sim \frac{a_i^2 \rho_{\infty}}{\Gamma}$ 

 $\Gamma_{\infty}$ 

Is this an appropriate typical time for the gas-phase? At what density ratio does this assumption break-down?

10

6a. Full Transient Solution: Water droplet in air at 293 K/1 bar / 40% humidity

### Inputs:

$$\begin{split} &Le = 1.26, \ Ja = 0.308 \\ &\gamma_A = 1.33, \ \varepsilon = 0.622 \ , \\ &c_{pr} = 1.84 \ , \ \rho_r = 0.0012 \ , \\ &c_r = 0.446 \ , \ \lambda_r = 0.042 \\ &\tilde{T}_{ex} = 0.785 \ , \ \delta = 0.993 \end{split}$$

Derived constants:  $B_T = 0.0063$  $B_M = 0.0043$ 



6b. Full Transient Solution: LN<sub>2</sub> in dry air at 300K /1 bar

### Inputs:

$$\begin{split} Le &= 1.02, \; Ja = 0.403 \\ \gamma_A &= 1.40, \, \varepsilon = 0.88 \; , \\ c_{pr} &= 1.03 \; , \, \rho_r = 0.0014 \; , \\ c_r &= 0.518 \; , \lambda_r = 0.167 \\ \tilde{T}_{ex} &= 3.88 \; , \, \delta = 0.233 \end{split}$$

Derived constants:  $B_T = 1.168$  $B_M = 1.162$ 



6c. Full Transient Solution: LN<sub>2</sub> in dry air at 300K /20 bar

### Inputs:

 $\begin{array}{l} Le \,=\, 1.02, \; Ja \,=\, 1.06 \\ \gamma_A \,=\, 1.40, \, \varepsilon \,=\, 0.88 \;, \\ c_{pr} \,=\, 1.03 \;, \, \rho_r \,=\, 0.0287 \;, \\ c_r \,=\, 0.518 \;, \lambda_r \,=\, 0.167 \\ \tilde{T}_{ex} \,=\, 2.60 \;, \, \delta \,=\, 0.233 \end{array}$ 

Derived constants:  $B_T = 1.712$  $B_M = 1.702$ 



## 7. Characterising the Gas Phase Transients



## 9. Case Study Example – LN<sub>2</sub> Evaporating in Air

For given Fluids,  $P_{\infty} \stackrel{\frown}{\square} \rightarrow \rho_r \stackrel{\frown}{\square} Ja \stackrel{\frown}{\square} \tilde{T}_{ex} \stackrel{\frown}{ \Rightarrow} net increase in transient effects$ 

 $T_{\infty} \stackrel{\frown}{\Box} \rightarrow \rho_r \stackrel{\frown}{\bigcup} Ja - \tilde{T}_{ex} \stackrel{\frown}{\Box} \rightarrow \text{only small net effect on transients}$ 



## **10.** Conclusions

- 1. A new fully transient droplet evaporation model has been developed.
- 2. The model recovers d<sup>2</sup> law under "normal" conditions
- 3. The model predicts two transient effects which act in opposing directions;
  - a) Evaporation rate is increased during early stages of evaporation as surrounding gas field is established this is sensitive to initial conditions so experimental validation would be challenging
  - b) Evaporation rate is decreased during late stages of evaporation due to a region of low temperature and high vapour concentration around the droplet (self-insulation).
- 4. The gas phase transient effects manifest under high  $\rho_r$  , Ja , and  $\tilde{T}_{ex}$ .
- 5. Fluids with low boiling points are more susceptible to simultaneously high  $\rho_r$  and  $\tilde{T}_{ex}$ .
- 6. For a given fluid combination, the transient effects manifest under high pressures.
- Neglecting the gas phase transients can account for a ~20% error in evaporation rate predictions. Errors in excess
  of this value can occur at even higher pressures towards the critical pressure, where the physical assumptions
  become questionable.

#### 04/11/2019

# **Complete Transient Droplet Evaporation Modelling: Deviation from the d<sup>2</sup> Law under Cryogenic Conditions**

Joshua Finneran

Francois Nadal, Colin Garner

Wolfson School of Mechanical, Electrical and Manufacturing Engineering Loughborough University

4<sup>th</sup> November 2019

