

Title of the project: Non-stationary hydrological models for climate change impact assessment	
Assignment no.: 08.16	Internal/ external: internal
Head graduation committee: dr. ir. Martijn Booij	Daily advisor:
Name(s) of participating companies or institutes: possibly Institute of Geophysics (Warsaw, Poland)	Start of the project: flexible
Required courses: Hydrology	
<p>Short description and objective of the project:</p> <p>Climate change is expected to have large impacts on water resources availability and flow regimes of rivers. In particular, effects on floods and droughts can be considerable and might have large consequences for economy and society (Booij, 2005; Anderson <i>et al.</i>, 2006; Jiang <i>et al.</i>, 2007; Gosling <i>et al.</i>, 2011; Demirel <i>et al.</i>, 2013). Therefore, it is very important to assess impacts of climate change on hydrology and flow regimes of rivers. The output of global climate models (GCMs) is usually downscaled with a regional climate model (RCM) or employing a statistical approach to determine the change in climate for a particular region. The changed climate is then used as input for a hydrological model to assess the response of a catchment or river basin for future conditions and to compare with current conditions. Hereby, it is often assumed that the hydrological model is valid for future climatic conditions while being calibrated for current climatic conditions. This assumption can be questioned since changed and variable climatic conditions might seriously influence hydrological conditions and hence optimal model parameters and even model structures (Wagener <i>et al.</i>, 2003; Van Werkhoven <i>et al.</i>, 2008; Thirel <i>et al.</i>, 2015a; 2015b).</p> <p>It is therefore important to test the assumption of stationary hydrological models in a systematic and rigorous way. If the calibration conditions are significantly different from the future climatic conditions, the calibrated hydrological model might not be appropriate for climate change impact assessment resulting in biased effects on hydrology and hence non-optimal mitigation and adaption measures. The hydrological model then needs to become a non-stationary or transient model with parameter values and even model structures varying dependent on climatic conditions.</p> <p>In this MSc project the focus is on the development of transient hydrological models which can be used for climate change impact assessment continuing the work of Knoben (2013). Moreover, similar methodologies as in this project can be followed to develop transient hydrological models to cope with land use changes or other anthropogenic influences. Parameter non-stationarity can be investigated and incorporated in models by calibrating those models for distinct climatic periods and determining relations between calibrated parameters and climatic characteristics of these distinct periods (Merz <i>et al.</i>, 2010). These relations can then be validated and possibly applied to climate change scenarios. Alternatively, model structures can be adapted as well depending on climatic conditions.</p> <p>The objective of this project is the estimation of non-stationary parameters and model structures based on historic data and application to future climate scenarios for different catchments in the world (e.g. UK, Belgium, Germany, US, Australia).</p>	

Short description of research approach:

1. Literature review (model structures, parameter estimation, non-stationarity, climate change).
2. Selection of test catchments and collection of data.
3. Selection of hydrological model(s).
4. Model structure identification and/ or calibration for distinct climatic periods.
5. Validation of model(s) and relations.
6. Possible application to future climate scenarios.
7. Summary of results, interpretation and conclusions and reporting.

This research project is part of a broad research activity on hydrological modelling, model calibration, regionalisation and climate impact assessment (see e.g. Foppes, 2005; Deckers, 2006; Huisjes, 2006; Booij and Krol, 2010; Deckers *et al.*, 2010; Van den Tillaart, 2010; Knoben, 2013; Van Esse *et al.*, 2013; Van den Tillaart *et al.*, 2013). Several students might work on this project depending on interests and skills of the student and availability of supervisors.

References

- Andersson, L., Wilk, J., Todd, M., Hughes, D., Earle, A., Kniveton, D., Layberry, R. and Savenije, H., 2006. Impact of climate change and development scenarios on flow patterns in the Okavango River. *Journal of Hydrology*, 331, 43–57.
- Booij, M.J., 2005. Impact of climate change on river flooding assessed with different spatial model resolutions. *Journal of Hydrology*, 303, 176-198.
- Booij, M.J. and Krol, M.S., 2010. Balance between calibration objectives in a conceptual hydrological model. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 55, 1017-1032.
- Deckers, D.L.E.H., 2006. Predicting discharge at ungauged catchments. Parameter estimation through the method of regionalisation. MSc-thesis. University of Twente, Enschede.
- Deckers, D.L.E.H., Booij, M.J., Rientjes, T.H.M. and Krol, M.S., 2010. Catchment variability and parameter estimation in multi-objective regionalisation of a rainfall-runoff model. *Water Resources Management*, 24, 3961–3985.
- Demirel, M.C., Booij, M.J. and Hoekstra, A.Y., 2013. Impacts of climate change on the seasonality of low flows in 134 catchments in the River Rhine basin using an ensemble of bias-corrected regional climate simulations. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 17, 4241-4257.
- Foppes, S., 2005. Regionalisation based on basin characteristics applied to flood forecasting in the Da River. MSc-thesis. University of Twente, Enschede.
- Gosling, S.N., Taylor, R.G., Arnell, N.W. and Todd, M.C., 2011. A comparative analysis of projected impacts of climate change on river runoff from global and catchment-scale hydrological models. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 15, 279–294.
- Huisjes, M., 2006. Uncertainties in the impacts of climate change on extreme high Meuse discharges. MSc-thesis. University of Twente, Enschede.
- Jiang, T., Chen, T.D., Xu, C.Y., Chen, X., Chen, X. and Singh, V.P., 2007. Comparison of hydrological impacts of climate change simulated by six hydrological models in the Dongjiang Basin, South China. *Journal of Hydrology*, 336, 316–333.
- Knoben, W.J.M., 2013. Estimation of non-stationary hydrological model parameters for the Polish Welná catchment. MSc-thesis. University of Twente, Enschede.
- Merz, R., Parajka, J. and Blöschl, G., 2010. Temporal stability of catchment model parameters – implications for climate impact analyses. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, 12, EGU2010-6119.
- Thirel, G., Andreassian, V. and Perrin, C., 2015a. On the need to test hydrological models under changing conditions. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 60, 1165-1173.
- Thirel, G., *et al.*, 2015b. Hydrology under change: an evaluation protocol to investigate how hydrological models deal with changing catchments. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 60, 1184-1199.
- Van den Tillaart, S.P.M., 2010. Influence of uncertainties in discharge determination on the parameter estimation and performance of a HBV model in Meuse sub basins. MSc-thesis. University of Twente, Enschede.
- Van den Tillaart, S.P.M., Booij, M.J. and Krol, M.S., 2013. Impact of uncertainties in discharge determination on the parameter estimation and performance of a hydrological model. *Hydrology Research*, 44, 454-466.
- Van Esse, W.R., Perrin, C., Booij, M.J., Augustijn, D.C.M., Fenicia, F., Kavetski, D. and Lobligois, F., 2013. The

influence of conceptual model structure on model performance: a comparative study for 237 French catchments. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 17, 4227-4239.

- Van Werkhoven, K., Wagener, T., Reed, P. and Tang, Y., 2008. Characterization of watershed model behavior across a hydroclimatic gradient. *Water Resources Research*, 44, W01429, doi:10.1029/2007WR006271.

- Wagener, T., McIntyre, N., Lees, M.J., Wheater, H.S. and Gupta, H.V., 2003. Towards reduced uncertainty in conceptual rainfall-runoff modelling: Dynamic identifiability analysis. *Hydrological Processes*, 17, 455– 476.