

Attendees: Horstman (chairman), Versteijlen, Bloemendal, Kommerkamp, Daggenvoorde, Van de Belt, Sanchez (minutes secretary),

Absent: Damgrave, Römer, Visser,

1, 2 Opening, approval of the agenda & selection minutes secretary

Marijn Hostman opens the meeting at 12.40

Agenda:

1. Opening
2. Adoption of the agenda & minutes secretary
3. Correspondence
4. Announcements
5. Approval minutes internal meeting
6. External Meeting – CTW Strategy
7. Education
8. Research
9. Any other business (AOB)
10. Question round
11. Closure

3. Correspondence

Belt: It was not clear the intention of the email from Bert Geerdink regarding the Nanolab financial situation. This should be asked to the Dean.

4. Announcements

Horstman will continue on the faculty council till the summer. This will be along his participation at 'URaad'.

5. Approval minutes internal meeting

Nr	Subject	Date	Who	Progress
1314-2	Take camera when all members are present	10-09-2013	Horstman	
1314-9	Send a photo of yourself to Römer for the website	17-12-2013	Everyone	Only the pictures of Pascale (WB-student) and Joost (WB-student) are missing.
1314-11	Bring up the remaining points at the FB meeting	07-01-2014	Damgrave	

6. External Meeting - CTW Strategy

30 New Strategy: Geert asks the Council to be present on the strategy talk from Feb 6th. The strategy highlights the need for more cooperation between departments. Several points were discussed, such as:

- The question has to be addressed as how to increase cooperation without being this imposed or forceful. There is a threat that cooperation among departments is forced without clear reasons for cooperation.
- 35 - Cooperation is already in place are by the combination of technical and social studies (alpha and gamma studies).
- However it is not clear the statement of living labs for innovation. It is only known from the push from students to see more outcomes of the research done at the UT applied to the campus itself.
- 40 - Enforced cooperation could lead to overload for employees. In this regard cooperation has to be seen as a mean and not a goal.
- A reflection has to be done asking whether where are we not cooperating enough.
- Also it is worth asking are the factors that restrain such cooperation. For example, some chairs/professors (tenure trackers) have already defined individual mid-term and long-term goals.
- 45 - Other example in research is the push for publishing on specialized journals instead of applied journals. This decreases the interest for joint work.

50 Another important aspect has to do with the bachelor education in English due to internationalization process at the UT. This may have a negative effect on national intake. Many Dutch students with lower level of English in technical studies may feel discouraged fearing extra difficulty on the studies. In this case, more language support should be offered to the students. The existing language support may not be sufficient.

55 8. Education

Results from the second module TOM results should be available soon. These are still confidential. Van de Belt investigated around other academic counselors on the rumors of advice for dropout. It is not true that advisors were encouraging students to drop out UT because of the TOM.

60 To ask the Dean the effect of TOM in dropouts.

9. Research

65 Has the Dean answered on the new organization of research groups and clusters, and the reorganization on relation with the ITC merging.

10. Any other business (AOB)

Again laptops have been stolen from students at the Oosthorst.

70 11. Question round**12. Closure**

at 13:20 o'clock

Nr	Subject	Date	Who	Progress
1314-2	Take camera when all members are present	10-09-2013	Horstman	
1314-9	Send a photo of yourself to Römer for the website	17-12-2013	Everyone	Only Pascale and Joost have to send a photo.
1314-11	Bring up the remaining points at te FB meeting	07-01-2014	Damgrave	
1314-12	Sharing results from TOM second module.	04-02-2014	Horstman	