

## Appendix B.11

# Corrections and additions to Chapter 11

In this chapter we collect corrections, extensions, etc. regarding Chapter 11.

### B.11.1 Corrections and additions to Section 11.1

It turns out that the proof of part b. in Theorem 11.1.5 is wrong. Below we give a correct proof.

**Theorem B.11.1.1** *Let  $A$  be the infinitesimal generator of the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T(t)$  on the Hilbert space  $Z$  and consider the following semilinear differential equation*

$$\dot{z}(t) = Az(t) + f(z(t)), \quad t \geq 0 \quad z(0) = z_0. \quad (\text{B.11.1})$$

*If  $f : Z \mapsto Z$  is locally Lipschitz continuous, then there exists a  $t_{\max} > 0$  such that the differential equation (11.7) has a unique mild solution on  $[0, t_{\max})$  with the following properties:*

- a. For  $0 \leq t < t_{\max}$  the solution depends continuously on the initial condition, uniformly on any bounded interval  $[0, \tau] \subset [0, t_{\max})$ .*
- b. If  $z_0 \in D(A)$ , then the mild solution is actually a classical solution on  $[0, t_{\max})$ .*

*Moreover, if  $t_{\max} < \infty$ , then*

$$\lim_{t \uparrow t_{\max}} \|z(t)\| = \infty.$$

*If the mapping  $f$  is uniformly Lipschitz continuous, then  $t_{\max} = \infty$ .*

**Proof a.** This part can be found in the book.

*b.* It remains to show that for  $z_0 \in D(A)$  the mild solution is in fact a classical solution. Let  $t_1, t_2$  be two time instances such that  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_{\max}$ , and let  $z(t)$

be the (unique) mild solution corresponding to  $z(0) = z_0 \in Z$ . Then from part a. the following holds

$$z(t_2) = T(t_1)z(t_2 - t_1) + \int_0^{t_1} T(t_1 - s)f(z(s + t_2 - t_1))ds.$$

Thus the mild solution of (11.1) at time  $t_2$  equals the mild solution of

$$\dot{v}(t) = Av(t) + f(v(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad v(0) = z(t_2 - t_1)$$

at time  $t_1$ . Combining this with (11.13), we find that for  $t_2 - t_1$  sufficiently small

$$\|z(t_2) - z(t_1)\| \leq M_1 e^{\omega_1 t_1} \|z(t_2 - t_1) - z_0\|. \quad (\text{B.11.2})$$

It remains to estimate the right-hand side of this inequality. Using (11.3), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(t) - z_0}{t} &= \frac{T(t)z_0 - z_0}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(t-s)f(z(s))ds \\ &= \frac{T(t)z_0 - z_0}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(t-s)f(z_0)ds + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(t-s)[f(z(s)) - f(z_0)]ds \\ &= \frac{T(t)z_0 - z_0}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(q)f(z_0)dq + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(t-s)[f(z(s)) - f(z_0)]ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $z_0$  is in the domain of  $A$ , the first term converges to  $Az_0$  as  $t$  converges to zero. The second term converges to  $f(z_0)$  since  $T(t)$  is strongly continuous. It remains to show that the last term converges to zero.

Since  $z$  and  $f$  are continuous, we can for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  find a  $t_\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\|f(z(s)) - f(z_0)\| \leq \varepsilon$  for  $s \in [0, t_\varepsilon]$ . Hence for  $t \in [0, t_\varepsilon]$  there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(t-s)[f(z(s)) - f(z_0)]ds \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \|T(t-s)[f(z(s)) - f(z_0)]\| ds \\ &\leq M_1 \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_1$  is the maximum of the semigroup over e.g.  $[0, 1]$ . This can be done for any positive  $\varepsilon$ , and so we have that the right-derivative of  $z(t)$  at  $t = 0$  exists. Combining this with (B.11.2) we see that  $z(t)$  is Lipschitz continuous.

Next choose  $\tau < t_{\max}$ . Since  $z(t)$  is continuous on  $[0, \tau]$ , this function is bounded. Combining this with the Lipschitz continuity of  $f$ , we see that  $f(z(t))$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $[0, \tau]$ . Here we have used the Lipschitz continuity of  $z$ . Since every Lipschitz continuous function is absolutely continuous, we conclude by Theorem

B.5.1.1 that

$$z(t) = T(t)z_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s)f(z(s))ds$$

is the classical solution of (B.11.1) on  $[0, \tau]$ . Since  $\tau < t_{\max}$  was chosen arbitrarily, we have shown the assertion. ■

This result can also be found in Cazenave and Haraux [43, Proposition 4.3.9] and Zheng [294, Corollary 2.5.2]. In the later reference  $f$  is assumed to be globally Lipschitz continuous, but as can be seen from our proof this is not needed.