EDUCATION AND EXAMINATION REGULATIONS (EER) FOR BACHELOR'S PROGRAMME

TECHNOLOGY AND LIBERAL ARTS & SCIENCES (ATLAS)

Content

Paragraph	1 GENERAL PROVISIONS	3
ART 1.1	APPLICABILITY OF THESE REGULATIONS	3
ART 1.2	DEFINITIONS	3
Paragraph	2 ADMISSION	5
ART 2.1	BOARD OF ADMISSIONS	5
ART 2.2	ELIGIBILITY AND ADMISSIONS CRITERIA	5
ART 2.3	LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY	6
ART 2.4	ADMISSIONS PROCEDURE	6
ART 2.5	COLLOQUIUM DOCTUM	6
Paragraph	3 CONTENT AND STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME	7
ART 3.1	AIM OF THE PROGRAMME	7
ART 3.2	STRUCTURE OF THE DEGREE PROGRAMME	7
ART 3.3	EXEMPTION	8
Paragraph	4 LEARNING AND ASSESSMENT	9
ART 4.1	PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN	9
ART 4.2	SEMESTER ASSESSMENT	9
ART 4.3	SEMESTER VERDICTS	10
ART 4.4	RIGHT OF JUSTIFICATION AND INSPECTION	11
ART 4.5	RETENTION PERIOD FOR SEMESTER ASSESSMENT	11
Paragraph	5 GRADUATION	12
	THE BACHELOR'S EXAMINATION	12
ART 5.2	DEGREE & DEGREE CERTIFICATE	12
Paragraph	6 STUDENT GUIDANCE AND COUNSELLING AND THE (BINDING)	
• .	NDATION ON CONTINUATION OF STUDIES	14
ART 6.1	STUDY PROGRESS OVERVIEW	
ART 6.2	STUDENT GUIDANCE	14
ART 6.3	(BINDING) RECOMMENDATION ON CONTINUATION OF STUDIES	14
Paragraph	7 STUDYING WITH A FUNCTIONAL IMPAIRMENT	16
	STUDYING WITH A FUNCTIONAL IMPAIRMENT	16
Paragraph	8 AMENDMENTS, APPEALS AND OBJECTIONS	17
ART 8.1	CONFLICTS WITH THE REGULATIONS	
ART 8.2	ADMINISTRATIVE ERRORS	17
ART 8.3	AMENDMENTS TO THE REGULATIONS	17
ART 8.4	REVIEW OF THE EER	17
ART 8.5	APPEALS AND OBJECTIONS	17
ART 8.6	HARDSHIP CLAUSE	18
ART 8.7	Publication	18
ART 8.8	COMMENCEMENT	18
ADDENIDIV	1 INTENDED LEADNING OUTCOMES ATLAS	10

Paragraph 1 GENERAL PROVISIONS

ART 1.1 APPLICABILITY OF THESE REGULATIONS

- 1. These Education and Examination Regulations apply to all students enrolled in the bachelor programme Technology and Liberal Arts & Sciences.
- 2. The programme's Examination Board sets down regulations for the execution of its tasks and powers in accordance with Article 7.12b of the WHW.
- 3. The statutory powers of the Examination Board of the degree programme apply to all components that are part of the student's degree programme.
- 4. Requests for exceptions to what has been recorded in the education and examination regulations can be addressed to the Examination Board.
- 5. In addition to the EER all staff and students in the degree programme uphold a code of conduct known as the ATLAS Honour Code. In case of conflicting interpretations with the ATLAS Honour Code, the EER takes precedence.

ART 1.2 DEFINITIONS

In these regulations, the following terms have the following meanings:

Academic year	The period that starts	on 1 September and end	ls on 31 August of the

following year.

ATLAS The honours bachelor programme Technology and Liberal Arts &

Sciences.

Assessor The individual appointed by the Examination Board to assess the

student's progress over a whole semester in accordance with article

7.12c WHW.

Bachelor Examination Final examination of the degree programme as referred to in 7.10.2

and 7.10a.1 WHW. The final examination is deemed to be successfully completed, if all semester and Personal Pursuits assessments belonging to the programme have been completed successfully. The bachelor examination may also include an additional assessment by

the Examination Board.

Board of Admissions Board, appointed by the Dean of UCT, responsible for the admissions

procedure and admissions decisions.

Board of ATLAS Also referred to as Board of UCT: Board of all 'Deans of the Faculty' of

the University of Twente.

BSA Binding recommendation on continuation of studies (Bindend

studieadvies): student progress evaluation as referred to in Article 7.8 (b), paragraphs 1 and 2 WHW involving expulsion from the programme in accordance with Article 7.8 (b), paragraph 3 WHW. A BSA is issued by the Programme Director on behalf of the institutional

administration.

Coordinator Academic

Advising Person appointed by the Programme Director who acts as contact

between the student and the programme, and in this role, represents the interests of the students, as well as fulfilling an advisory role

between student and programme.

Credit or EC European Credit: A unit of study load corresponding to 28 hours of

study. In concordance with the European Credit Transfer System a nominal academic year equals 60 EC or 1680 hours (art. 7.4 WHW).

Dean of UCT Head of UCT.

Dean of the Faculty Head of a Faculty.

Degree programme The entirety of curriculum components: six semesters and the

Personal Pursuit.

Elective Educational activity or unit of study the students can choose

themselves.

Evidence The substantiation of a student's learning.

student, as well as the assessment of the results of this evaluation (Article 7.10 of the WHW); these results can be expressed in

summative or formative feedback.

Examination Board The committee that is responsible for safeguarding the quality of the

output of the programme and the validity and reliability of the exams and examinations. The members are appointed by the Dean of the

Faculty, in concordance with article 7.12a WHW.

Examiner: The individual appointed by the Examination Board to conduct the

exams, or tests or who reviews assignments in accordance with article

7.12c WHW.

FOBOS Provisions to provide financial support for students in special

circumstances (Financiële Ondersteuning Bijzonder Omstandigheden

Studenten).

Honour Code Code of conduct written by ATLAS students for ATLAS students and

staff.

Institution or UT University of Twente.

Mentor UCT staff member who advises students on their academic

development in ATLAS.

Module A unit of study of 15 ECTS in the Twente Educational Model.

PCC Personal Circumstances Committee (Commissie Persoonlijke

Omstandigheden). A committee convened by the institutional administration to advise the institutional administration in individual cases regarding the validity, duration, and severity of a specific

student's extenuating personal circumstances.

PDP Personal Development Plan: A student's individual study plan for a

semester.

Programme: The Bachelor's programme as described in the appendix to these

Education and Examination Regulations.

Programme Director Person appointed by the Dean to administer the degree programme.

to in article 9.18 WHW.

Student Person registered with the programme in accordance with article 7.34

and 7.37 WHW.

SER Self-evaluation report; end of the semester report wherein the

students substantiate their learning with evidence, and reflect on their

progress.

UCT University College Twente, department of the UT that provides the

bachelor programme ATLAS, and takes responsibility for its students

and staff community.

Unit of study A component of the programme as described in Article 7.3,

paragraphs 2 and 3 of the WHW, providing students the opportunity

to collect evidence.

Twente Educational

Model: The educational model introduced at the University of Twente's

Bachelor's degree programmes in 2013.

WHW The Higher Education and Research Act (abbreviated in Dutch to

WHW), Bulletin of Acts and Decrees 1992, 593, and its subsequent

amendments.

Working day: Any day from Monday to Friday with the exception of official holidays

and the prearranged 'bridging days' (brugdagen).

Any terms not defined here have the meaning assigned to them by the WHW.

Paragraph 2 ADMISSION

ART 2.1 BOARD OF ADMISSIONS

1. The Dean of UCT appoints a Board of Admissions responsible for the admissions procedure and decisions.

ART 2.2 ELIGIBILITY AND ADMISSIONS CRITERIA

- 1. In order to be eligible for admission a prospective student needs a VWO diploma or an equivalent thereof, and a strong background in mathematics and a science subject, preferably physics.
- Admission to the programme can be granted to students who convince the Board of Admissions to be talented, have a broad interest and fit in the ATLAS educational approach.
 Talent can be demonstrated through performance in pre-university education, for example

through

- i. ranking: the top 15% of a class;
- ii. extracurricular activities or experiences;
- iii. grades:
 - a. for example, a VWO-diploma including Math and Science. Average pass grades well above 7.5, the score in Mathematics ('Wiskunde B') and Physics or Chemistry at least 7.5.

 for example, a university entry certificate such as British A-levels or International Baccalaureate or equivalent, with high grades in Mathematics and a Science subject (preferably physics).

ART 2.3 LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY

- 1. Since English is the working language of the degree programme, non-native speakers are required to demonstrate proof of their English language proficiency for example through
 - a. Test scores such as the IELTS with a score of at least 7, or TOEFL with a minimum score of 100 (internet test) or equivalent test scores.
 - b. High grades in English in university entry certificate.
- 2. Non-native speakers with an International Baccalaureate (IB) or European Baccalaureate (EB) diploma are exempt from this requirement.

ART 2.4 ADMISSIONS PROCEDURE

- Prospective students are asked to submit their application through Studielink and complete their application by sending in a motivation letter, academic transcripts, and proof of English language proficiency.
- 2. The Board of Admissions reviews the applicant on the basis of overall academic background, foundation in math and science, English language proficiency, and motivation for choosing UCT.
- 3. The Board of Admissions assesses whether or not an applicant is invited for a selection day activity in Enschede or alternatively an activity online.
- 4. A final admission decision is made by the Board of Admissions on the basis of the outcome of 2.4.2 and 2.4.3.

ART 2.5 COLLOQUIUM DOCTUM

- 1. The colloquium doctum exam as referred to in Art. 7.29 of the WHW has the form of an assessment by a colloquium doctum committee appointed by the Board of Admissions.
- 2. Purpose of the assessment is to assess the academic readiness of a prospective student, to determine if the student will be able to successfully participate in the degree programme.
- 3. The colloquium doctum committee applies the same procedure and assessment criteria as articulated in Art. 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.

Paragraph 3 CONTENT AND STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME

ART 3.1 AIM OF THE PROGRAMME

- 1. Upon graduation, the student
 - i. Is competent in at least one technical and one social science academic subject
 - ii. Is competent in carrying out scientific research
 - iii. Is competent in design
 - iv. Is competent in organizing
 - v. Has an academic approach
 - vi. Has intellectual skills
 - vii. Is competent in cooperating and communicating
 - viii. Takes account of the temporal and social context
 - ix. Behaves in a socially responsible manner and is able to take leadership
 - x. Is prepared to make decisions about their future
- 2. A detailed description of the intended learning outcomes of the degree programme as referred to in Article 7.13, paragraph 2c of the WHW, is included in appendix 1.

ART 3.2 STRUCTURE OF THE DEGREE PROGRAMME

Table 3.2.1 Structure of the ATLAS degree programme

Curriculum Component				Credits	
6	27				
Semester 2	_ 27	Personal Pursuit	6		
	54		6	60	
	27				
Semester 3	27				
Semester 4	27	Personal Pursuit	6		
	54		6	60	
Semester 5	27	Personal Pursuit			
		or Elective	6		
Semester 6	27				
	54		6	60	
	162		18	180	
	Semester 1 Semester 2 Semester 3 Semester 4 Semester 5	Semester 1 27 Semester 2 27 54 Semester 3 27 Semester 4 27 54 Semester 5 27 Semester 6 27 54	Semester 1 27 Personal Pursuit 54 54 Semester 3 27 Personal Pursuit 54 54 Semester 5 27 Personal Pursuit or Elective Semester 6 27 54	Semester 1 27 Semester 2 27 Personal Pursuit 6 Semester 3 27 Personal Pursuit 6 Semester 4 27 Personal Pursuit 6 Semester 5 27 Personal Pursuit or Elective 6 Semester 6 27 6 6	

- 1. The study load for the degree programme is equivalent to 180 EC's.
- 2. The degree programme is taught full-time.
- 3. The degree programme comprises of two curriculum components:

- a) Semesters with a minimum of 27 credits each;
- b) Personal Pursuit.
- 4. Each semester comprises of educational activities culminating in a workload of at least 27 credits.
- 5. The semesters 1, 2, 3 and 4 all comprise:
 - a) A semester theme;
 - b) Semester learning goals and intended outcomes;
 - c) A description of all required educational activities in the semester.

Semester 5

- a) Is an individual semester beyond ATLAS.
- b) In order to safeguard quality of the teaching and learning beyond ATLAS students need to complete a learning agreement for semester 5 providing information about the institution and units of the study they are planning to take.
- c) The learning agreement is approved by the semester 5 coordinator before the start of the semester.
- d) If there is no approved learning agreement, the semester cannot be assessed.
- e) Final approval for the learning agreement can only be granted, if the student has successfully completed at least 3 semesters and has thus obtained a minimum of 87 credits (60 credits of year 1 and at least one semester of year 2).

7. Semester 6

- a) Is the final semester of the programme.
- b) Contains an individual research project of at least 15 credits.
- c) Students can only start semester 6 if they have successfully completed at least 4 semesters and have thus obtained a minimum of 114 credits (60 credits of year 1 and at least two semesters of year 2).

8. The Personal Pursuit

- a) Comprises of 6 credits per academic year.
- b) Proposals for the Personal Pursuit are sent to and approved by the Personal Pursuit committee.
- c) The Personal Pursuit committee publishes an approval and assessment procedure including deadlines for approval and completion, no later than the 3rd week of the academic year.
- d) All students complete a Personal Pursuit in year 1 and 2. The Personal Pursuit in year 3 is optional and may be substituted by elective(s) with a total minimal credit load of 6 credits.

ART 3.3 EXEMPTION

- The Examination Board can grant individual students exemptions from one or more curriculum components or parts of the curriculum components. To this end, the student will demonstrate completion of a component of a similar content, size and level of a university or higher professional education programme or, as a result of work and/or professional experience, sufficient knowledge and skills regarding the unit of study concerned.
- 2. The total number of exempted credits cannot exceed 60.
- 3. Individual students can apply for an exemption before their enrolment in the programme but no later than the end of the first semester of enrolment.

Paragraph 4 LEARNING AND ASSESSMENT

ART 4.1 PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

- 1. Teaching and learning in the degree programme is student driven and focused on the academic development of the student.
- 2. At the beginning of each semester, the student writes a PDP describing the learning goals and activities for the semester, the intended evidence for the goals and how these goals and activities allow the student to reach the semester goals. The student consults the mentor on the PDP.
- 3. A PDP needs approval from the PDP committee. The PDP committee comprises of the semester coordinator and at least two other lecturers.
- 4. Approval of the PDP is based on whether or not the PDP allows the student to reach the semester goals.
- 5. The first deadline for the PDP is announced in the ATLAS academic calendar. PDPs that are not approved in the first round can be improved and sent in for a second round of approval.
- 6. The PDP is updated at least once halfway through the semester, after the midterm evaluation.
- 7. The deadline for the updated PDP after the midterm evaluation is the last chance to receive an approval for the PDP.
- 8. Students whose PDP was not approved or who do not hand in a PDP cannot participate in the semester assessment.
- 9. The PDP is handed in through a UCT appointed system.

ART 4.2 SEMESTER ASSESSMENT

- 1. Each semester is completed with a semester assessment.
- 2. The semester assessment is based on the SER. In the SER students describe their learning and provide evidence of the achievements with regard to the learning goals as described in the approved PDP.
- 3. The SER is handed in through the appointed UCT system.
- 4. A semester syllabus clearly stipulates expectations, possibilities, and deadlines for requesting feedback on educational activities carried out by ATLAS during the semester.
- 5. The results of units of study outside of the ATLAS programme can only be used as evidence for the semester assessment if the student has successfully completed the unit of study according to the rules and regulations of the university or department responsible for that unit of study.
- 6. If external units of study are assessed in a summative way, using a grade or a statement, the summative assessment can be used as evidence but will not be recorded on the student's transcript of the degree programme.
- 7. Halfway through the semester, the programme organises a midterm evaluation providing students insight in their progress throughout the semester.
- 8. In the first year of enrolment, the midterm evaluation is linked to indications and warnings to students in relation to BSA procedure.

ART 4.3 SEMESTER VERDICTS

- 1. The semester assessment cumulates in a verdict.
- 2. The possible verdicts are:

Verdict		Description	Credits
PX	Pass with excellence	Overall performance exceeds the expectations as stipulated in the semester goals	Credits awarded
PH	Pass with honours	Overall performance is at the level stipulated in the semester goals	Credits awarded
PC	Pass with condition	Overall performance is below the expectations as stipulated in the semester goals	Credits awarded only when the condition is met;
		The condition is clearly described on the verdict and stipulates the requirements and deadlines for meeting the condition	Upon completion of the condition the verdict changes from PC into a PA (Pass)
НО		Student has failed the semester and needs to redo the semester	No credits awarded
PA	Pass	The final and recorded verdict after the condition of the verdict PC has been met	Credits awarded

- 3. If at the time of handing in the SER not all supporting evidence can be included the student can request an Extended Examination (EE).
- 4. Requesting an EE does not pardon the student from handing in the SER.
- 5. Requests for an EE are directed at the Semester coordinator by email with a cc to the Office of Student Affairs. The final deadline for requesting an EE is the deadline for handing in the SER.
- 6. The EE is granted by the assessment meeting.
- 7. If an EE is granted, it includes a clear stipulation of the conditions and timeline to be met for the final assessment.
- 8. The student is informed about the verdict in writing by email within 5 working days after the semester assessment meeting. The assessment meeting takes places within two weeks after the deadline of the SER
- 9. Students have the right to appeal to the results of the assessment and the justification of the verdict within 1 week after the publication of the results. The appeal is first to be addressed to the semester coordinator by email. If students disagree with the handling of the appeal by the semester coordinator they can take their appeal to the Examination Board.
- 10. If an error is discovered in the results of a student, all involved parties will do their utmost to inform the other parties and cooperate to rectify the alleged error.
- 11. The rights of ownership of all results of tasks, assignments, and projects within the ATLAS programme and for which the student has earned credits, reside with EEMCS.
- 12. Results of projects and assignments are public, even for projects in an external organisation, unless at the start of the project the organisation and the Programme Director agree upon different arrangements. These additional arrangements will be documented in writing.

ART 4.4 RIGHT OF JUSTIFICATION AND INSPECTION

- 1. For all units of study executed within the degree programme, the students are entitled to a justification of the results of a test from the examiner, whereby the examiner substantiates the assessment that was given.
- 2. If no collective discussion of the results is held, students may submit a request for an individual discussion of the results to the examiner within ten working days of publication of the test results.
- 3. The discussion must take place at the latest five weeks after the publication of the test results, in the presence of the examiner or an authorized replacement.
- 4. Students have the right to inspect their work for a period of two years after the assessment.

ART 4.5 RETENTION PERIOD FOR SEMESTER ASSESSMENT

- 1. The assessed work that is part of the evidence collected for the semester assessment will be retained for a period of two years.
- 2. The retention period for the semester 6 project is seven years.

Paragraph 5 GRADUATION

ART 5.1 THE BACHELOR'S EXAMINATION

- 1. In accordance with WHW Article 7.10. the Bachelor's examination is successfully completed if the assessment of all curriculum components of the Bachelor's programme (see art. 3.2.1) have been completed successfully.
- 2. In evidence of the fact that the exam has been completed successfully, the Examination Board awards a diploma, after the institutional administration has declared that the procedural requirements for delivery have been met. The Examination Board adds a supplement to a certificate.
- 3. The date recorded on the certificate, i.e. the examination date, is the date of the final semester assessment meeting or the date on which the student successfully completed the last remaining unit of study.
- 4. A student may submit a substantiated request in writing to the Examination Board to postpone declaring the examination as 'successfully completed' and consequently postpone the presentation of the certificate as well. The student must indicate at least the duration of the postponement in the request.
- 5. The details of the provision in article 5.1.4 will be included in the Rules and Regulations of the Examination Board.
- 6. If the student has requested postponement on the basis of article 5.1.4, the examination date will be the date following postponement on which the Examination Board has decided to declare the student to have successfully completed the examination.

ART 5.2 DEGREE & DEGREE CERTIFICATE

- 1. The student who has passed the Bachelor's examination is awarded a Bachelor of Science (BSc) degree.
- 2. As proof of having successfully completed the Bachelor's examination, the student will receive a Diploma from the Examination Board, signed by the chair or when the chair is not present, another member of the Examination Board.
- 3. The Diploma states the name and date of birth of the student, 'Bachelor Technology and Liberal Arts & Sciences', the graduation date, degree 'Bachelor of Science' and the most recent accreditation date of the programme. The diploma supplement comprises an explanation of the content and set up of the programme. If the student finished an additional honours programme of the UT, this will be indicated on the diploma supplement.
- 4. The Examination Board will invite students to accept the ATLAS Bachelor diploma and transcript of results and or supplement. The date recorded on the diploma the graduation date is the date on which the student successfully completed the last remaining programme component.
- 5. The Bachelor graduation assessment can be taken with the designation "cum laude", "magna cum laude", or "summa cum laude". The guidelines for awarding this designation are that the following conditions must be fulfilled:
 - a) The Bachelor examination is achieved during the 3rd year of registration;
 - b) Semester 6 is passed with excellence (PX);
 - c) The achievements of the student are:
 - i) For cum laude: At least four out of all six semesters or at least three out of the four semesters 3-6 are passed with excellence (PX).
 - ii) For magna cum laude: at least 5 semesters are passed with excellence (PX).
 - iii) For summa cum laude: all six semesters are passed with excellence (PX).

6. In exceptional cases, at the assessment meeting's request, the Examination Board can award a designation if the student had pardonable grounds for non-compliance with the time limits requirement or the verdicts requirement. For the time requirement, this could be the case, for instance, when delay has been acknowledged in accordance with the provisions stipulated by the institution.

Paragraph 6 STUDENT GUIDANCE AND COUNSELLING AND THE (BINDING) RECOMMENDATION ON CONTINUATION OF STUDIES

ART 6.1 STUDY PROGRESS OVERVIEW

1. The student can request a certified study progress overview from the Student Services Desk if required.

ART 6.2 STUDENT GUIDANCE

- 1. Each student is appointed a mentor.
- 2. The mentor guides and advises on the student's academic development throughout the degree programme.
- 3. The Coordinator Academic Advising functions as a liaison between the student and the institution and as such represents the interests of students.
- 4. If students wish to exercise their right to specific counselling or special facilities, they are required to contact the Coordinator Academic Advising. The Coordinator Academic Advising will record any agreements made with the student, of which the student and or the programme can derive rights.
- 5. The following applies to the entitlement to special facilities:
 - a) Demonstrable force majeure or personal circumstances;
 - b) If necessary and possible, dispensation for participation of exams or tests and/or the availability of special facilities with regards to examination. Only the Examination Board can grant such dispensation and additional testing opportunities.

ART 6.3 (BINDING) RECOMMENDATION ON CONTINUATION OF STUDIES

- 1. Each student receives a written recommendation on continuation of studies at the end of the first year of enrolment in the programme. This recommendation is based on the student's results. The student may be allowed to continue on the programme, or may be required to leave the programme.
- 2. Students will receive a first preliminary recommendation on continuation of studies in the week after the midterm evaluation of their first semester of their first year of enrolment in the programme. This preliminary recommendation is not binding.
- 3. Students will receive a second preliminary recommendation on continuation of studies in the week after the results of the semester assessment of their first semester of their first year of enrolment in the programme are published. This preliminary recommendation is not binding.
- 4. Students will receive a third preliminary recommendation on continuation of studies in the week after the midterm-evaluation of their second semester of their first year of enrolment in the programme. This preliminary recommendation is not binding.
- 5. Students who receive a negative preliminary recommendation on continuation of studies as referred to in paragraph 3 and/or 4 and/or 5 will be invited for a meeting with the Programme Director to discuss their study progress and review their choice of degree programme, within 4 weeks after receiving the preliminary recommendation.
- 6. The institutional administration mandates the Programme Director to issue recommendations on continuation of studies, as referred to in paragraph 1.
- 7. The final recommendation on continuation of studies, as referred to in paragraph 1, may involve expulsion from the programme if the student has completed less than 100% of study load in the

- first year of the programme. Results of exams and of tests that remain valid beyond the current academic year are counted to establish how much a student has completed.
- 8. Expulsion remains in force for a period of three academic years. A final recommendation on continuation of studies that involves expulsion is referred to as a binding recommendation on continuation of studies (BSA). If after this period, the student wants to re-enrol in the degree programme, the students has to submit a new application and proof of complying with the current admissions criteria.
- 9. Only the credits from semesters and personal pursuit in the first year of the programme count toward the threshold for the final recommendation on continuation of studies. In case a student requests to transfers credits to the first year from courses taken in a different programme or institution, specific requirements for BSA are communicated to the students together with the decision on the students request. These specific requirements may include passing specific courses.
- 10. If a student terminates enrolment in the programme prior to 1 February of the first year of enrolment, no final recommendation on continuation of studies will be issued as referred to in Article 6.3, paragraph 1. If this student re-enrols in a subsequent academic year, then a final recommendation on continuation of studies will be issued at the end of that subsequent academic year.

As termination of enrolment are seen:

- a) Submitting a request for termination of enrolment to the UT;
- b) Submitting a request for registration in a different program at the UT;
- c) Starting studies at a different institution with a 'proof of paid tuition fee'.
- 11. Prior to receiving a final decision on the BSA, students receive a warning with the intended final recommendation. Students have the right to a hearing with the Programme Director before the final decision (Higher Education and Research Act art. 7.8b, paragraph 4).
- 12. When considering a BSA involving expulsion, the Programme Director will take the student's personal circumstances into account at the student's request. The Programme Director will only take personal circumstances into account that have been reported to the Coordinator Academic Advising as soon as can reasonably be expected following their onset.
- 13. Personal circumstances include illness, physical, sensory or other functional disability or pregnancy of the student involved, extenuating family circumstances, participation in elite sports and membership on the University Council, Faculty Council, Programme Committee or a Category 3 board in accordance with the FOBOS Regulations.
- 14. In consultation with the Coordinator Academic Advising, the personal circumstances are to be reported to the Personal Circumstances Committee (PCC) and accompanied by supporting documentation.
- 15. The PCC will assess the validity and severity of the personal circumstances and report its findings to the Programme Director and the Coordinator Academic Advising.
- 16. The Programme Director will take the PCC's findings into account when assessing the student's request as referred to in Article 6.3, paragraph 13.
- 17. If personal circumstances preclude assessment of a student's academic capacities, the final recommendation on continuation of studies is postponed. The final recommendation on continuation of studies will be issued no later than the end of the student's second year of enrolment. The student is notified when the programme will issue the recommendation within 6 weeks after the decision to postpone.
- 18. The Programme Director's decision regarding the BSA will make mention of the applicable appeals procedure.

Paragraph 7 STUDYING WITH A FUNCTIONAL IMPAIRMENT

ART 7.1 STUDYING WITH A FUNCTIONAL IMPAIRMENT

- 1. A functional impairment is a physical, sensory or other functional disorder that might limit the student's academic progress.
- The Coordinator Academic Advising and the student will discuss the most effective facilities for the student as referred to in Article 2 of the Equal Treatment of Disabled and Chronically III People Act (WGB h/cz).
- 3. Facilities are to be aimed at removing specific barriers in the teaching programme or when it comes to assessment activities such as taking exams. Where necessary, these facilities may be related to access to infrastructure (buildings, classrooms and furnishings) and study materials, adjustments to the form of assessment, alternative learning pathways or a customized study plan. The facilities are to ensure the student's chances of achieving the final attainment targets.
- 4. Based on the interview referred to in paragraph 2, the student is to submit a request for facilities to the faculty Dean, preferably three months before the student is to participate in classes, exams and practical exercises for which the facilities are required.
- 5. The request is to be submitted along with supporting documentation that is reasonably necessary for assessing the request (such as a letter from a doctor or psychologist registered in the BIG register, or in the case of dyslexia from a healthcare psychologist or special education needs expert, also registered in the BIG register).
- 6. The faculty Dean will decide on the admissibility of the request as referred to in paragraph 4 and will inform the student and the coordinator academic advising of the decision within 20 working days after receipt of the request, or sooner as the urgency of the request dictates.
- 7. The coordinator academic advising will ensure that the relevant parties are informed in good time about the facilities granted to a student with a functional impairment.
- 8. Should the faculty Dean reject the request in full or in part, the faculty Dean is to inform the student of the justification for the rejection and the possibilities for lodging an objection and an appeal. A written objection must be submitted in writing within six weeks after the decision has been communicated to the student. The objection is to be submitted to the objections, appeals and complaints office via the Student Services desk.
- 9. Should extra facilities be granted, the period of validity will also be indicated. The applicant and the Coordinator Academic Advising will evaluate the facilities before the end of this period. During this evaluation, parties will discuss the effectiveness of the facilities provided and whether they should be continued.
- 10. A student that is dyslexic will be granted a maximum of 15 extra minutes for each hour that a test or exam is officially scheduled.

Paragraph 8 AMENDMENTS, APPEALS AND OBJECTIONS

ART 8.1 CONFLICTS WITH THE REGULATIONS

If other additional regulations and/or provisions pertaining to teaching and/or examinations
conflict with these Education and Examination Regulations, the present Education and
Examination Regulations take precedence.

ART 8.2 ADMINISTRATIVE ERRORS

1. If, following the publication of an interim examination result, a list of marks, or an overview of a student's progress, an apparent error is discovered, the discoverer, be it the university or the student, is required to make this known to the other party immediately upon finding the error and to cooperate on the rectification of the error.

ART 8.3 AMENDMENTS TO THE REGULATIONS

- 1. Substantive amendments to these Education and Examination Regulations are determined by the Dean of the Faculty in a separate decision.
- 2. In principle, amendments to these Regulations do not apply to the current academic year. Substantive amendments to these Regulations may apply to the current academic year if the interests of the students are not prejudiced within reasonable bounds, or in situations of force majeure.
- 3. Amendments to these Regulations have no effect on earlier decisions of the Examination Board.

ART 8.4 REVIEW OF THE EER

- 1. The Dean is responsible for the regular review of the Education and Examination Regulations and is to take into account the time involved for the student for the purposes of monitoring and adjusting the study load, if necessary.
- 2. In accordance with article 9.18 of the Higher Education and Research Act, parts of the Education and Examination Regulations need the approval of the Programme Committee. On other parts the Programme Committee can advise.
- 3. The Programme Committee annually assesses the way in which the Education and Examination Regulations are implemented.

ART 8.5 APPEALS AND OBJECTIONS

1. An appeal against a decision made by the Examination Board or an examiner, and objections to decisions made by the Dean of the Faculty on the basis of these Regulations, must be submitted in writing to the Complaints Desk at Student Services within six weeks after notification of the decision.

ART 8.6 HARDSHIP CLAUSE

1. In the event of demonstrable, considerable unreasonableness and unfairness, the Examination Board can permit departures from the provisions of these Regulations.

ART 8.7 PUBLICATION

1. The Education and Examination Regulations and the Rules and Regulations of the Examination Board are published via the website of the programme in question.

ART 8.8 COMMENCEMENT

2. These Regulations take effect on 1 September 2017 and supersede the Regulations of 1 September 2016.

APPENDIX 1. INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES ATLAS

Upon graduation, the ATLAS graduate:

1. Is competent in at least one technical and one social science academic subject

- a. Understands the knowledge base of the relevant fields (theories, methods, techniques).
- b. Understands the structure of the relevant fields, and essential connections between sub-fields.
- c. Has knowledge of and some skills in the way in which truth-finding and the development of theories and models takes place in the relevant fields.
- d. Has knowledge of the way in which interpretations of texts, data, problems, and results take place in the relevant fields, and is able to apply this knowledge.
- e. Has knowledge of and some skills in the way in which experiments, gathering of data and simulations take place in the relevant fields.
- f. Has knowledge of and some skills in the way in which decision-making takes place in the relevant fields.
- g. Is aware of the presuppositions of the standard methods and their importance.
- h. Is able (with supervision) to spot gaps in their own knowledge, and overcome this through study.

2. Is competent in carrying out scientific research

- a. Is able to reformulate ill-structured research problems, taking into account the boundaries of systems. Is able to defend new interpretations against various stakeholders.
- b. Is observant, and has the creativity and the capacity to discover in apparently trivial matters certain connections and new viewpoints.
- c. Is able (with supervision) to produce and carry out a research plan including selection of the appropriate research methodology, both for technical as well as for social science problems.
- d. Is able to work at different levels of abstraction.
- e. Has an interdisciplinary mindset, and so can understand the importance and relevance of various disciplines and approaches.
- f. Is aware of, and can handle, the unpredictability of the research process due to changing external circumstances or new insights.
- g. Is able to discern the usefulness of existing research on the subject.
- h. Is able (with supervision) to contribute to the development of scientific knowledge in one or more areas of the subjects concerned.

3. Is competent in design

- a. Is able to reformulate ill-structured design problems, taking into account the boundaries of the system. Is able to defend this new interpretation against various stakeholders.
- b. Uses creative skills to analyse design problems and to synthesize different aspects and approaches.

- c. Is able (with supervision) to produce and carry out a design plan, both for technical as well as for social science problems.
- d. Is able to work at different levels of abstraction including the system level.
- Understands the importance of other subjects (interdisciplinarity) and can integrate them where appropriate.
- f. Is aware of, and can handle, the unpredictability of the design process due to changing external circumstances or new insights.
- g. Is able to integrate existing knowledge in a design.
- h. Has the skill to take design decisions, and to justify and evaluate these in a systematic manner.

4. Is competent in organizing

- a. Is able to evaluate the usefulness, relevance, and restrictions of prototypes with respect to scaling products or processes.
- b. Is able to understand, evaluate and value the likely effect of perspectives and propositions of stakeholders, potential users and 'society' on the process of change.
- c. Is able to adjust and optimize the process of change (implementation) accordingly by optimizing the outcomes of 4a and 4b.

5. Has an academic approach

- a. Is inquisitive and has an attitude of lifelong learning; can assess own knowledge and skills, indicate their limits, find ways to improve the aforementioned points, and is able to test and evaluate their own learning progress.
- b. Has a systematic approach to their work, characterized by the development and use of theories, models and interpretations.
- c. Has the knowledge and the skill to use, justify, and assess the value of models for research and design, and is able to adapt models for a specific purpose. (Here the term 'model' can be used broadly, from mathematical models to scale-models).
- d. Has insight into the nature of science and technology (such as purpose, methods, differences and similarities between scientific fields, nature of laws, theories, explanations, role of the experiment, and objectivity).
- e. Has insight into academic and professional practice (such as research systems, relations with clients, publication systems, and the importance of integrity).
- f. Is able to adequately document the results of research and design with a view to contributing to the development of knowledge in the field and beyond.

6. Has intellectual skills

- a. Has the self-awareness to critically reflect (with supervision) on their own thinking, decision-making, and acting, and adjust these accordingly on the basis of said reflection.
- b. Is able to reason logically within the field and beyond, through the use of 'why', 'how', and 'what-if' reasoning.
- c. Is able to recognise modes of reasoning (such as induction, deduction, and analogy) within the field.
- d. Is able to ask relevant questions, and has a critical, yet constructive, attitude towards analysing and solving problems in the field.
- e. Is able to form a well-reasoned opinion where data are incomplete or irrelevant.
- f. Is able to take a cultivated standpoint with regard to an academic or professional argument in the field.

g. Possesses basic numerical skills, and has an understanding of orders of magnitude.

7. Is competent in cooperating and communicating

- a. Is able to communicate (in writing and verbally) with colleagues and non-colleagues about the results of learning, thinking, and decision-making.
- b. Is able to follow and contribute to debates about a field and its place in society.
- c. Is able to comfortably handle 7.a and 7.b, both verbally and in writing, in English.
- d. Is characterised by professional behaviour, including drive, reliability, commitment, accuracy, perseverance, and independence.
- e. Is able to perform project-based work, is pragmatic and has a sense of responsibility.
- f. Is able to deal with limited resources and risks, and is able to make compromises.
- g. Is able to work within an interdisciplinary team and to contribute to its functioning.
- h. Has insight into, and is able to deal with, team roles and social dynamics.

8. Takes account of the temporal and social context

- a. Is aware of developments in the history of the fields concerned. This includes the interaction between the internal developments (of ideas) and the external (social and technological) developments.
- b. Is able to analyse and to discuss with colleagues and non-colleagues the social consequences (economic, social, cultural) of new (technological) developments in relevant fields.
- c. Is able to analyse the consequences of academic and professional thinking and acting on the environment and on sustainable development.
- d. Is able to analyse and to discuss with colleagues and non-colleagues the ethical and the normative aspects of the consequences and assumptions of academic thinking and acting (both in research and in designing).
- e. Notices and can understand the roles that different professionals play in society.

9. Behaves in a socially responsible manner and is able to take leadership

- a. Is aware of both the intended and unintended effects of their opinions and actions.
- b. Values the principles stipulated in the ATLAS Honour Code.
- c. Will take initiative where appropriate.
- d. Empathises with, and can understand the viewpoints of, people from different cultures, and those who hold different values and perspectives, as well as people from different levels of education and socioeconomic status.
- e. Is able to value the contribution of others and generates input themselves.
- f. Is aware of their own 'natural' leadership style, its strengths and weaknesses, and will actively work to better their leadership where applicable.

10. Prepared to make decisions about their future

- a. Has a realistic view of their own capacities, skills, and motives.
- b. Is able to make well-reasoned decisions and carry them out.