

To: Members PC CreaTe/HMI

From: Barbara Spikker

Reference: EW112/CreaTe/1005bs Date: 19 September 2012

M:\Create\OLC Create\minutes PC  
CreaTe/HMI 17.doc

**Concerns Minutes of the PC CreaTe/HMI meeting nr. 17, 11 September 2012**

Committee members present: Dr. E.M.A.G. van Dijk (chair), Dr.ir. C. Salm,  
I. Visser, S.N.J. Gerritsen

Others present: Dr. G.F. van der Hoeven (director), Dr.ir. E.J. Faber  
(Bachelor coordinator), B. Spikker (support staff,  
minutes)

---

## **1. Opening and announcements**

Van Dijk opens the meeting at 15:10.

### *Announcements*

Irene Visser reports that this is probably the last time she will be present as PC member. Her studies are taking up a lot of her time and combining both has become difficult. She does have someone in mind to take her place: a member of the Education Committee Inter-Actief. Visser will ask him.

Van der Hoeven reports the following:

- 2nd year: Last year 90 students started, 65 of whom reached the Binding Recommendation standard and 39 of these (65) got their 'propedeuse' (first-year diploma) in year one.  
Of the transfer students 40 wish to do the NM (New Media) specialization and 20 ST (Smart Technology). Some students have still not made up their mind. Van der Hoeven had hoped that there would be a more even distribution between the specializations but this is not the case. This might mean difficulties with the roster, because in the ST specialization are also 3rd-year repeaters.
- 3rd year: No actual figures available. These students (29 of whom are still active) are predominantly engaged in filling in their profiles and preparing themselves for a possible Master's. The majority moves on to HMI, but some also go to Industrial Design (IO), Gaming (in Utrecht) and Systems & Control. Of these 3rd-year students 17 have still not got their 'propedeuse'. This generation has been faced with considerable changes to the curriculum.
- 1st year: Results are rather disappointing. The Education Executive Agency (DUO) issued 85 applicants with proof of admission, 75 of whom turned up, 1 who subsequently 'disappeared' and 1 who switched to EE. 47 are of Dutch origin, 15 are German and 11 are from elsewhere (not German). In total there are 19 females.

## **2. Setting the agenda**

The agenda is approved in its current form.

### **3. Minutes programme committee of 10 July 2012**

Page 1 (committee members present) Irene Visser reports that she was present at the PC of 10 July.

With this alteration the minutes are approved.

#### *Action points:*

- |          |  |
|----------|--|
| Point 18 | Gerritsen has still not spoken to students. He will take this up with Poel.    |
| Point 45 | Will be discussed at this meeting. May be struck off agenda.                   |
| Point 46 | Is on today's agenda but still needs to be worked out further. Keep on agenda. |

### **4. Incoming/outgoing mail**

#### *Education Day 18 September*

Faber reports that Mr Jansson, who heads the bureau for the EIT Master's programme, will be keynote. A day earlier he will visit CreaTe and meet with Dertien and Faber. Van der Hoeven explains the reason for this meeting with regard to the EIT presentation.

### **5. Commencement dates New Educational Model (NEM)**

NEM has been changed to TEM (Twente Educational Model). Someone remarks that - owing to this modular system -, switching to a Master's in Utrecht may be problematic. This results in a discussion. The members wish Van der Hoeven to write a letter because the PC feels that students are entitled to a good diploma.

Faber reports that he, Jan Kamphuis, Tom Mulder and Irene Visser have met regularly to discuss the consequences for CreaTe.

With several small amendments Van der Hoeven will send the letter.

### **6. Guidance note of the Educational Organization Committee**

Van der Hoeven reports that Delft has been using this model for a while and explains what the rationale is behind such a cluster division. There is no ideal cluster for CreaTe. Although understandable, CreaTe also works together a lot with Industrial Design (IO). For that reason there will be cluster exceedance. Furthermore, the members feel that one cluster per director of education is probably too much.

However, the members fail to see the urgency of introducing such a cluster set-up. Van Dijk will put something in writing and mail it to everyone so they can check it. It is not that the members are strongly opposed to it, but they do have a few comments.

### **7. Proposal specialization Smart Technology for Creative Technology**

Faber would like to know what the PC needs so that he can make the necessary preparations for discussion at the PC meeting in October. He will do this together with Salm. Some of the issues to be addressed: transitional arrangements, subject description, content and prospects.

### **8. Questions**

-

### **9. End**

At 16:35 Van Dijk closes the meeting. She thanks Visser for her participation in the PC (if this was indeed her last time) and wishes her success with her further studies.

## Actions

Action point	Status	Action taker
18. Find new student member within HMI for the PC.	Still no new member. Will try and find one again in September.	Gerritsen and Poel
46. Proposal Smart Technology for Creative Technology.	On November agenda. Incl. transitional arrangements, prospects, subject description.	Faber and Salm
47. Draw up recommendation on cluster division (letter 4 September).	Executive Board wants a recommendation for the proposed cluster division. The letter has been written and sent.	Van Dijk
48. Look for new student member within CreaTe.	A new member required on account of Visser's departure from PC. Martijn Bruinenberg of CreaTe is prepared to fulfil this task.	Visser