Tactical Ambulance Location and Relocation

Brigitte Werners Dirk Degel, Lara Wiesche, Sebastian Rachuba

Management, Operations Research and Accounting - Ruhr-University Bochum, Germany

CHOIR in Practice, University of Twente, the Netherlands

November 22, 2013



Outline

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Basic idea and models
- 3. Data driven optimization model for tactical EMS-vehicle planning
- 4. A real world EMS-planning problem in the city of Bochum
- 5. Conclusions and Outlook

Aging population



- \blacktriangleright Age class of $[70,\,\cdot\,]$ causes 50 % of EMS operations
- EMS demand increases

source: Statistisches Bundesamt 2013

Aging population



- \blacktriangleright Age class of $[70,\,\cdot\,]$ causes 50 % of EMS operations
- EMS demand increases

source: Statistisches Bundesamt 2013

- 1. Access to emergency medical services (EMS) is crucial
- 2. Ensuring optimal supply quality
 - Short arrival time
 - Coverage of entire demand area
 - High degree of achievement
 - ⇒ Dependent on location and number of ambulances
- 3. Efficiency
 - Reduction of fixed costs (avoid overcapacity)
 - Utilization of ambulances



Quality criteria and objectives

Evaluation of emergency medical services (objective of EMS provider):

Degree of achievement (ex post):

 $\frac{\# \text{ operations within a time standard } T}{\# \text{ total number of operations}}$

Objectives in literature (ex ante):

 Coverage: Single coverage, double coverage, busy fraction models, queuing models, hypercube models



6 / 28

Optimal quality in emergency medical services

Research project: 2 years (work in progress)





Focus:

- Analysis of required resources for EMS
- Analysis of time-dependent demand and speed fluctuations for EMS
- Tactical and strategic planning horizon
- Stochastic influences, uncertain parameters (demand, speed)

Goal:

- Dynamic (and robust) optimization model
- IT-based decisions support tool for local EMS providers

Decision support tool



Decision support tool



Basic covering location models

Idea: Demand nodes i have to be covered within a time standard \boldsymbol{T}



source: Zarandi et al. 2011-The large scale maximal covering location problem; page 1565

Set Covering Problem (SCP)

Toregas et al. 1971



| $\mathcal{N}_i := \{ j \in J \mid $ | $t_{ij} \leq T$ |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|

Set Covering Problem (SCP)

Toregas et al. 1971



| $\mathcal{N}_i := \{ j \in J \mid t_{ij} \le T \}$ | $\mathcal{N}_i :=$ | $\{j\in$ | $J \mid$ | t_{ij} | \leq | T |
|--|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|---|
|--|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|---|

Maximal Covering Location Problem (MCLP) Church, ReVelle 1974



Double Standard Model (DSM)

Gendreau et al. 1997



$$\mathcal{N}_i^{T_\ell} := \{ j \in J \mid t_{ij} \le T_\ell, \, \ell \in \{1, 2\} \} \qquad p = 3$$

Double Standard Model (DSM)

Gendreau et al. 1997

| \max | $\sum d_i x_i^2$ | |
|--------|--|-------------------|
| | $i \in I$ | |
| s.t. | $\sum y_j \ge 1$ | $\forall i \in I$ |
| | $j \in \mathcal{N}_i^{T_2}$ | |
| | $\sum_{i \in I} d_i x_i^1 \ge \alpha \sum_{i \in I} d_i$ | |
| | $x_i^1 \ge x_i^2$ | $\forall i \in I$ |
| | $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}^{T_1}} y_j \ge x_i^1 + x_i^2$ | $\forall i \in I$ |
| | $j \in \mathcal{N}_i$ | |
| | $\sum_{j\in J}y_j=p$ | |
| | $x_i^1, x_i^2 \in \{0, 1\}$ | $\forall i \in I$ |
| | $y_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ | $\forall j \in J$ |

- d_i : demand at node i
- $\mathcal{N}_i^{T_\ell} := \{ j \in J \mid t_{ij} \le T_\ell \}$ $T_1 < T_2$

$$x_i^k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if demand node } i \text{ is} \\ & \text{covered } k \in \{1, 2\} \text{ times} \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

 y_j : number of ambulances at node j

Double Standard Model (DSM)

Gendreau et al. 1997 — Limitations: (1) static consideration (2) fixed double coverage

| max | $\sum oldsymbol{d}_i oldsymbol{x}_i^2$ | |
|------|--|-------------------|
| | $i \in I$ | |
| s.t. | $\sum y_j \ge 1$ | $\forall i \in I$ |
| | $j \in \mathcal{N}_i^{T_2}$ | |
| | $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{d}_i x_i^1 \ge \alpha \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{d}_i$ | |
| | $x_i^1 \ge oldsymbol{x}_i^2$ | $\forall i \in I$ |
| | $\sum_{m} y_j \geq x_i^1 + oldsymbol{x}_i^2$ | $\forall i \in I$ |
| | $j \in \mathcal{N}_i^{T_1}$ | |
| | $\sum_{j\in J}y_j=oldsymbol{p}$ | |
| | $x_i^1, \frac{x_i^2}{x_i^2} \in \{0, 1\}$ | $\forall i \in I$ |
| | $y_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ | $\forall j \in J$ |

- $\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \quad d_i: \text{ demand at node } i \\ \hline \quad \mathcal{N}_i^{T_\ell} := \{j \in J \mid \textbf{t}_{ij} \leq T_\ell\} \\ T_1 < T_2 \end{array}$
- p: number of ambulances (fleet size)

$$x_i^k = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{if demand node } i \text{ is} \\ & \text{covered } k \in \{1, \mathbf{2}\} \text{ times} \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{array} \right.$$

 y_j : number of ambulances at node j

Extensions of the Double Standard Model

Time-dependent parameter: speed



 Time-dependent speed on (city-)motorways (example of the city of Vienna)
Source: Kritzinger et al. (2011), S. 71

12 / 28

Time-dependent parameter: demand



 \implies Dynamic considerations required





ξ : number of ambulances, X : number of parallel operations

$$P(\{X \le \xi\}) \ge \beta \quad (=0.95)$$

Time-dependent parameter: degree of required coverage



 $\xi: \text{number of ambulances}, X: \text{number of parallel operations}$

 $P(\{X \le \xi\}) \ge \beta \quad (=0.95)$

Time-dependent parameter: degree of required coverage



$\xi: \text{number of ambulances}, X: \text{number of parallel operations}$

$$P(\{X \le \xi\}) \ge \beta \quad (=0.95)$$

Time-dependent parameter: degree of required coverage



 $\xi: \text{number of ambulances}, X: \text{number of parallel operations}$

$$P(\{X \le \xi\}) \ge \beta \quad (= 0.95)$$

Extensions of the Double Standard Model

Existing:

- Speed is time-dependent and location-dependent
- Empirical investigation (e. g. Schmid/Doerner (2010); Wiesche 2012)

Extensions of the Double Standard Model

Existing:

- Speed is time-dependent and location-dependent
- Empirical investigation (e.g. Schmid/Doerner (2010); Wiesche 2012)

Additional:

- Time- and location-dependent demand
- Empirically required coverage for each demand node/period
- Additional and flexible ambulance stations
- Dynamic and flexible allocation of ambulances to stations



O

Extensions of the Double Standard Model

Existing:

- Speed is time-dependent and location-dependent
- Empirical investigation (e.g. Schmid/Doerner (2010); Wiesche 2012)

Additional:

- Time- and location-dependent demand
- Empirically required coverage for each demand node/period
- Additional and flexible ambulance stations
- Dynamic and flexible allocation of ambulances to stations



New optimization approach Objectives (1)



- Observed: differences between required and actual coverage
- Maximize the empirically required coverage:

 $x_{it}^{k} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if demand node } i \text{ is covered } k \text{-times in period } t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

• $k = \mathbf{e}(it)$ is determined empirically (\leftarrow parallel operations)

New optimization approach Objectives (1)



- Observed: differences between required and actual coverage
- Maximize the empirically required coverage: $x_{it}^{e(it)} \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\max \quad \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} d_{it} x_{it}^{\mathbf{e}(it)}$$

• k = e(it) is determined empirically (\leftarrow parallel operations)

New optimization approach

Objectives (2)

- Re-locations due to variations of demand/speed (penalty costs)
- Utilization of flexible ambulance stations (penalty costs)





New optimization approach

Objectives (2)

- Re-locations due to variations of demand/speed (penalty costs)
- Utilization of flexible ambulance stations (penalty costs)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} u_{ijt} \\ \min & \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} y_{jt} \\ y_{Dt} = p - pt & \forall t \in \mathcal{T} \\ y_{jt} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{D\}} u_{ij(t+1)} - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{D\}} u_{ji(t+1)} = y_{j(t+1)} & \forall j \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{D\}, \forall t \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \{T\} \\ y_{jT} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{D\}} u_{ij1} - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{D\}} u_{ji1} = y_{j1} & \forall j \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{D\} \\ u_{ijt} \in \{0, 1\} & \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, \forall j \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{D\}, \forall t \in \mathcal{T} \\ y_{jt} \in \mathbb{N}_{0} & \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, \forall t \in \mathcal{T} \\ \vdots & \end{array}$$

New optimization approach

Constraints

- covering constraints
- Iocation constraints
- relocation constraints
- allocation constraints
- demand constraints

Basic model

Bochum



Coordinates $51^{\circ} 28' 55'' N \quad 7^{\circ} 12' 57'' E$



- 16th biggest city in Germany
- Area: 145.4 km² (56.1 sq mi)
- Population: ca. 375,000
- Population density: 2,577/km²
- Services: about 21,000 operations per year
- Services per 1,000 inhabitants per year: about 56 operations
- E 🕨 🕨 14 ambulances

20 / 28

The city area of Bochum



The city area of Bochum



The city area of Bochum

| | A | В | С | D | Ε | F | G | Н | J | K | L | M | Ν | 0 | Р | Q | R | |
|----|---|----|----|-------------|----|----|-----------------|----|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|----|
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 129 | | | | | 1 |
| 2 | | | | | | | 55 | 67 | | 92 | 105 | 118 | 130 | 140 | 148 | | | 2 |
| 3 | | | | | | 44 | 56 | 68 | 80 | 93 | 106 | 119 | 131 | 141 | | | | 3 |
| 4 | | | 12 | 22 | 33 | 45 | 57 | 69 | 81 | 94 | 107 | 120 | 132 | 142 | 149 | | | 4 |
| 5 | | | 13 | 23 | 34 | 46 | 58 | 70 | $\frac{82}{W_{6}}$ | 95 | 108 | 121 | 133 | 143 | 150 | 155 | | 5 |
| 6 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 24 | 35 | 47 | 59 | 71 | 83 | 96 | 109 | 122 | 134 | $\begin{array}{c} 144 \\ W_3 \end{array}$ | 151 | 156 | 160 | 6 |
| 7 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 25 | 36 | 48 | ${}^{60}_{W_2}$ | 72 | 84 | 97 | 110 | 123 | 135 | 145 | 152 | 157 | 161 | 7 |
| 8 | 3 | 7 | 16 | $24 \\ W_1$ | 37 | 49 | 61 | 73 | 85 | 98 | 111 | 124 | 136 | 146 | 153 | 158 | 162 | 8 |
| 9 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 27 | 38 | 50 | 62 | 74 | 86 | 99 | 112 | 125 | 137 | 147 | 154 | 159 | 163 | 9 |
| 10 | | 9 | 18 | 28 | 39 | 51 | ${}^{63}_{W_4}$ | 75 | $\frac{87}{W_{5}}$ | 100 | 113 | 126 | 138 | | | | | 10 |
| 11 | | 10 | 19 | 29 | 40 | 52 | 64 | 76 | 88 | 101 | 114 | 127 | 139 | | | | | 11 |
| 12 | | 11 | 20 | 30 | 41 | 53 | 65 | 77 | 89 | 102 | 115 | 128 | | | | | | 12 |
| 13 | | | 21 | 31 | 42 | 54 | 66 | 78 | 90 | 103 | 116 | | | | | | | 13 |
| 14 | | | | 32 | 43 | | | 79 | 91 | 104 | 117 | | | | | | | 14 |
| | A | В | С | D | Ε | F | G | H | J | K | L | М | Ν | 0 | Р | Q | R | |

Usage of flexible ambulance stations and relocations

Period t = 1, number of ambulances 7



Usage of flexible ambulance stations and relocations

Period t = 2, number of ambulances 7



Usage of flexible ambulance stations and relocations

Period t = 3, number of ambulances 14



Usage of flexible ambulance stations and relocations

Period t = 4, number of ambulances 13



Usage of flexible ambulance stations and relocations

Period t = 5, number of ambulances 13



Usage of flexible ambulance stations and relocations

Period t = 6, number of ambulances 10



Difference between resulting and required degree of coverage (period 8-12 a.m.)

| | A | В | С | D | Ε | F | G | H | J | K | L | М | N | 0 | P | Q | R | | Г | | A | В | C | D | E | F | G | Н | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P | Q | R | |
|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----|---------|---------|---------|----|----|----|---------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----|---------|----|----|---------|----|----|---------|---------|----|
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | -2 | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | -1 | | | | | 1 |
| 2 | | | | | | | $^{-2}$ | -1 | | -1 | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | -2 | $^{-2}$ | | | 2 | | 2 | | | | | | | $^{-2}$ | 0 | | 2 | 0 | 0 | $^{-1}$ | -1 | -1 | | | 2 |
| 3 | | | | | | $^{-2}$ | -1 | -1 | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-2}$ | 2 | 2 | | | | 3 | | 3 | | | | | | $^{-2}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | | | | 3 |
| 4 | | | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | $^{-1}$ | -1 | $^{-1}$ | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | 4 | | 4 | | | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | | | -4 |
| 5 | | | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $^{-1}$ | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | 5 | IΓ | 5 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 5 |
| 6 | $^{-2}$ | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | IΓ | 6 | $^{-2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $^{-1}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $^{-1}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $^{-2}$ | 8 | IΓ | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $^{-2}$ | 8 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0 | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | 9 | IΓ | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $^{-2}$ | -2 | 9 |
| 10 | | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-2}$ | | | | | 10 | IΓ | 10 | | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $^{-2}$ | | | | | 10 |
| 11 | | $^{-2}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-2}$ | | | | | 11 | IΓ | 11 | | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $^{-2}$ | | | | | 11 |
| 12 | | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-2}$ | | | | | | 12 | | 12 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | | | | | | 12 |
| 13 | | | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | -2 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | $^{-2}$ | | | | | | | 13 | | 13 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | | | | | | | 13 |
| 14 | | | | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | | | -2 | -2 | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | | | | | | | 14 | | 14 | | | | 0 | 0 | | | $^{-2}$ | -2 | $^{-2}$ | -2 | | | | | | | 14 |
| | A | В | C | D | Ε | F | G | H | J | K | L | М | N | 0 | Р | Q | R | | | | A | В | C | D | E | F | G | H | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P | Q | R | |

status quo

max double coverage

Difference between resulting and required degree of coverage (period 8-12 a.m.)

| | A | В | C | D | Ε | F | G | H | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P | Q | R | | | Τ | A | В | C | D | Ε | F | G | H | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P | Q | R | |
|----|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----|---|---|----|---|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----|---------|---------|---------|----|---------|---------|----|
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | -2 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | -1 | | | | | 1 |
| 2 | | | | | | | $^{-2}$ | -1 | | $^{-1}$ | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | -2 | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | | | 2 | | T | | | | | | | $^{-2}$ | 1 | | 3 | 0 | 0 | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | -1 | | | 2 |
| 3 | | | | | | $^{-2}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | -1 | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-2}$ | 2 | 2 | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | $^{-2}$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | | | | 3 |
| 4 | | | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | $^{-1}$ | -1 | $^{-1}$ | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | 4 | | | | | -2 | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | | | 4 |
| 5 | | | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $^{-1}$ | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | 5 | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 5 |
| 6 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | | | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $^{-1}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $^{-2}$ | 8 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $^{-2}$ | 8 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0 | -1 | $^{-1}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | 9 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | 9 |
| 10 | | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-2}$ | | | | | 10 | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $^{-2}$ | | | | | 10 |
| 11 | | $^{-2}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-2}$ | | | | | 11 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $^{-2}$ | | | | | 11 |
| 12 | | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $^{-1}$ | $^{-1}$ | $^{-2}$ | | | | | | 12 | 1 | 2 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $^{-2}$ | | | | | | 12 |
| 13 | | | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | -2 | -1 | $^{-1}$ | 0 | -1 | -1 | $^{-2}$ | | | | | | | 13 | 1 | 3 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -2 | | | | | | | 13 |
| 14 | | | | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | | | -2 | -2 | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | | | | | | | 14 | 1 | 4 | | | | 0 | 0 | | | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | $^{-2}$ | -2 | | | | | | | 14 |
| | A | В | C | D | Ε | F | G | Н | J | K | L | М | N | 0 | P | Q | R | | | | A | В | C | D | Ε | F | G | H | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P | Q | R | |

status quo

max empirically required coverage

Coverage level

Difference to empirically required coverage (period 8-12 a.m.)



current allocation

optimal allocation

Difference between the empirically required coverage in percentage EMS demand



percentage of EMS demand

Conclusions and outlook

Improvements & further research

- Quality improvements:
 - Consideration of flexible ambulance stations is recommended
 - More suitable coverage according to empirical demands
- Efficiency of resource utilization:
 - Reduced number of ambulances
 - Constant quality, with cost reduction
 - Higher quality, with equal costs
- Integration of uncertainties (demand, driving speed \rightarrow robust models)
 - Robust Uncertain Set Covering Problem (considers uncertainty in driving speed)
- Heuristic solution approach for large instances

Contact

Prof. Dr. Brigitte Werners

Management, Operations Research and Accounting

Faculty of Management and Economics Ruhr-University Bochum Universitaetsstrasse 150 44780 Bochum E-mail: or@rub.de



References

Dirk Degel, Lara Wiesche, Sebastian Rachuba, and Brigitte Werners. Dynamic ambulance location providing suitable coverage for time-dependent demand. *Cayirli T.; Gunal, M. M.; Gunes, E. D.; Ormeci, E. L. (eds.): Operational Research Applied to Health Services (ORAHS) 2013 Conference Proceedings,* 2013.



Dirk Degel, Lara Wiesche, Sebastian Rachuba, and Brigitte Werners. Time-dependent ambulance allocation considering data-driven empirical necessary coverage.

Technical report, Ruhr-University Bochum, Bochum, 2013/01 2013.

Simon Heussen, Brigitte Werners, Dirk Degel, Sebastian Rachuba, Lara Wiesche, and Pascal Lutter.

Projekt: optimale Versorgungsqualität im Rettungswesen—Optimierung von Rettungswachenstandorten.

Brandschutz: Deutsche Feuerwehr-Zeitung, 67. Jahrgang(September 2013):701-703, 2013.



Brigitte Werners, Dirk Degel, Sebastian Rachuba, Lara Wiesche, and Simon Heußen. Bedarfsplanung für kommunale Rettungsdienste: Wo sollte jedes Fahrzeug stehen? *Rettungsdienst (www.skverlag.de)*, 4:38–41, 2013.