Expectation Management is 'Key' in CBL

Findings with respect to the role of the 'teacher' in two rounds of a CBL-like course Anne Leferink and Marcel Bijman

> Elective master course on Clinical Biotechnology (5EC) Challenge: "Donor Organ Shortage"

2021/2022

Students: 12 x TM, 1x BME 1 coach, 1 observer 4 seminars pre-defined topic 1 lecture Challenge Provider (week 5) Presentation (graded), report (graded), final individual assessment (graded)

Take autonomy and choose what

2022/2023

Students: 14 x TM, 2 x ATLAS, 1 x BME 2 coaches 3 seminars student-chosen topic 1 lecture Challenge Provider (week 4) Presentation (informal), report (feedback), final individual assessment (feedback)

- Decide on the "Big idea" in week 1
- Choose topics of interest, content of seminars will be adapted to this interest (week 2 and 3)
- Coaches to guide process
- Slow start, week 3 groups formed
- Other courses more important in individual planning
- Solutions in the direction of provided topics

Decide on the "Big idea"

has your interest

Coach as co-creator

- Coach wasn't actively involved
- Pressure in the final three weeks

- Quick start, week 1 groups formed
- Solutions in the direction of selfchosen topics
- Some groups did field work
- Enthusiasm for sharing final idea
- Coaches were kept informed
- Reports and analysis of high level

Results

Self-directed learning is a matter of expectation management. When the role of teacher is not solely 'coach' but also assessor and topic provider the self-directiveness will be negatively affected (2021/2022).

By providing clear expectations on the requirements for autonomy and by scaffolding their individual learning, the students showed more engagement with the content and less stress in planning and performance (2022/2023).

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Instructions

Context

SPECS