

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

5

Datum: 11 October 2017

Present:

Chairman: dr. G.G.M. Stoffels

OLC-members: M. van Bergen, B. van Eijk, R. Elshof, ir. E.E.G. Hekman, dr. M. Shahi,
dr.ir. E.T.A. van der Weide,

10 Permanent guests: S. Ruiter, mr. K.G.M. Braakhuis, drs. E.M. Gommer, dr.ir. J.B.W. Kok

Absent with notice: dr.ir. R.G.K.M. Aarts, dr.ir. M.B. de Rooij,

Note taker: T.D. van der Molen

Minutes 233rd Education Committee 11 October 2017

15

1. Opening

In absence of the chairman and student chairman, dr. G.G.M. Stoffels will act as chairman for this meeting. The chairman opens the meeting at 10:06

20 **2. Minutes 232nd OLC-meeting, OLC-WB 705 Minutes concept**

Page 1: no comments.

Page 2: E. van der Weide asks for the numbers of people that didn't get a positive BSA.

25 G. Stoffels says that out of 120 students 60 got a positive advice, 5 got a positive advice after reconsideration, 16 got a postponed advice due to circumstances, 24 got a negative advice and 15 quit before an advice was given. So about one third of the students quit.

M. van Bergen asks if these results are within the new "pass-fail" regulation.

30 G. Stoffels explains that it was with the old regulation and that the students were allowed to have one 5 or a 4 for modelling and programming. However these results can't be compared to the new regulation. One third of the students already has 60 EC, but the average grade is lower and there are no very high scoring students (9, 10 on average). Only one student has an 8 for each module.

L. Gommer asks about the current first years. G. Stoffels says that it is too early to draw any conclusions, but 8 students already quit and one transferred from EE.

35 E. van der Weide inquires if the introduction of English has any effect. B. van Eijk thinks not, but M. van Bergen disagrees. No conclusion is reached.

M. van Bergen points to a mistake in the minutes, regarding the module nomenclature. A short discussion follows and it is decided to use the OER to correct the minutes.

40

M. van Bergen asks about the graphical representation of the module nomenclature. There was some confusion about what kind of graphical representation. It is decided to make a graphic showing the different nomenclatures in a module.

AP: L. Gommer: Look into making a graphical terminology figure in the OER

45

Page 3: E. van der Weide asks whether it was monitored if there was more time available for exams, as decided on line 141 of the minutes. B. van Eijk answers that this was done for the Matlab Modpro course.

50

M. van Bergen mentions that on line 135 the sentence should end on "is".

E. van der Weide asks whether math D2 has been decoupled as planned. G. Stoffels confirms that it has been.

55

S. Ruiter states that he would like to reevaluate the integration of module 8. In his opinion the project and dynamics 2 are not integrated. It is decided to keep a close eye on the proposal of the module from J. Schilder. S. Ruiter also asks for an evaluation of the integration of the mathematics in the other modules. It is agreed to keep a close eye on this as well.

60

G. Stoffels mentions that there were considerable problems with module 8 last year, since only 10 out of 120 students passed the first exam. Next Friday the examination board is going to look into this. Agreement is reached that there is a problem.

Page 4:

65 S. Ruiter asks if a conclusion was reached on line 221 about the practice material. After a short discussion it is concluded that there was no conclusion since the current rules are sufficient.

E. van der Weide mentions that “engels” on line 192 should be “dutch”

70 **Page 5:**

No comments

Action points:

41: done
75 50: L. Gommer will check if A. de Boer did this
51: L. Gommer will check if A. de Boer did this
53: done

80 S. Ruiter asks who is responsible for the evaluations and when the last evaluations have been performed. Some discussion follows over which modules have been evaluated.

AP: S.Ruiter: find out the status of the evaluation committee and get them to update the evaluations.

The minutes are accepted with the aforementioned changes.

85

3. Minutes Faculty council CT

The minutes of the faculty council are unsatisfactory and bad. It is decided not to reply.

4. Announcements

90 G. Stoffels mentions that B. Koopmans had some announcements, but that she doesn't have them all.

There will be a faculty board including the dean, Marjolein Domen (education), David Korringa, Bart Koopmans (Director of research) and Niek ten Brink (a student).

95 L. Gommer mentions that the program directors of SET, IDE and ME have regular meetings to make sure educational affairs match.

All further announcements from B. Koopmans are to be sent to the members via e-mail.

100 L. Gommer had a panel discussion with international students. Things are going well. One point was that there was a lot of beer during the kick-in. A short discussion follows. L. Gommer mentions that she wants to discuss this with the kick-in committee of Newton regardless and see what comes out.

105 L. Gommer mentions that there was a problem with a lecture coinciding with prayer times for some students and that on that same time an assessment was planned. She is going to contact the teachers to let them know that the students might be late some times and is going to ask the assessment to be moved.

110 L. Gommer says that as part of the accreditation committee for BIT it was interesting to see a student chapter added to the self-evaluation. L. Gommer asks the current OLC to look into writing such a student chapter for the self-evaluation report as well. G. Stoffels mentions that last year this was already done for SET.

115 G. Stoffels mentions that Kristel Braakhuis is the new master coordinator of ME and SET , Adeliën Heutink is the new master coordinator for IDE and SET and Eva Blokhuis is the new master coordinator for CEM and CME. They do a variety of tasks including: scheduling, admission, communications with students and being a contact person for students. Jacqueline van Emmerich is the internship coordinator for the master ME and SET.

120 L. Gommer explains that she is starting a master renewal program, and that the first meeting with students was positive. On the 30th of October she will have a meeting with the teachers. She assures the OLC there is not going to be TOM in the master.

Bart Koopmans has provided cake for the OLC since he will no longer be the chairman.

125 (a break follows where cake is handed out and enjoyed by the members and guests of the OLC).

5. Composition OLC

130 F. Krekt will try and get two new students for the OLC, he will stay on as student-chairman of the OLC. S. Ruiter will remain in the OLC as educational affairs from Newton until he is replaced by the next board member.

G. Stoffels mentions that from the teachers the following changes will take place:

135 R. Aarts -> stays
M. de Rooij -> stays
E. van der Weide -> stays
E. Hekman -> joins from BME
M. Shahi -> joins as representative from SET
B. Koopmans -> quits
140 S. Perdahcioglu -> quits

There is still no representative from Design Production and Management.

It is not clear if T. Vaneker is still a member. If not, this means there is no representative from OPM.

145 **AP:** L. Gommer will contact B. Koopmans about a replacement from DPM and about the next chair person.

6. Educational affairs

150 S. Ruiter says that after the first test of dynamics 1 there was an average of 2.7 and some complaints were voiced about how the lectures were given. Students said they could not follow the explanations. This problem was already mentioned last year and should be looked into. It is suggested by G. Stoffels that S. Ruiter contacts Bert and A. de Boer about arranging some extra summary tutorials in order to prevent a knowledge gap.

155 G. Stoffels mentions that next year there will be a new teacher teaching the course (in English).

L. Gommer asks to be informed directly the next time large problems arise with courses.

L. Gommer explains that J. Schilder is doing some extra evening tutorials on dynamics.

160 S. Ruiter answers that they are interesting and well attended, but that they are more of a different approach to dynamics and provide extra information, so they are not meant as repairs.

7. Any other business

165 E. van der Weide asks why there is a meeting at 11:00 on February 7th. The answer is that it has to do with scheduling of the meetings which is done by BOZ. E. van der Weide will contact BOZ.

8. Closing

170 The chairman closes the meeting at 11:37

Faculteit Engineering Technology
Opleiding Werktuigbouwkunde
Opleidingscommissie (OLC)

<i>OLC Actionpoints</i>				
	Action:	Introduced on:	To be completed on:	To be completed by:
41	Ask for FR minutes from FR	08-06-2016	Before each meeting	BOZ
1	Door evaluatiecommissie de vakevaluaties laten bespreken (n.a.v. OLC-377)	01-11-2001	After each period	
54	Look into making a graphical terminology figure in the OER	11-10-2017	-	L. Gommer
55	Find out the status of the evaluation committee and get them to update the evaluations	11-10-2017	-	S. Ruiter
56	Talk to B. Koopmans about a replacement from DPM and about the next chair person.	11-10-2017	-	L. Gommer