

# Course Evaluation Report SMG 2010-2011

**Course:** European Regulatory Governance (194101160)

Study: Master European Studies

EC: 5

Quartile: 2

Lecturers: Dr. Donnelly

Number of respondents	10
-----------------------	----

## General evaluation

Nr.	Aspects	Value	Conclusion*
1.1	Content of the course	7,3	+
1.2	Level of the course	7,1	+
1.3	Consistency with other courses	7,7	+
1.4	Clarity of learning objectives	6,9	+
1.5	Achievement of learning objectives	7,1	+
1.6	Assessment of learning objectives	7,3	+

## Organization of the course

Nr.	Aspects	Value	Conclusion
2.1	Relevance of information on Blackboard	8,1	+
2.2	Clarity of structure	7,4	+
2.3	Consistency between lectures and readings	8,2	+
2.4	Up-to-date material	8,5	+
2.5	Suitability of location	8,2	+

## Donnelly

Nr.	Aspects	Value	Conclusion
3.1	Enthusiasm	9,6	+
3.2	Clear explanation of the subject	8,5	+
3.3	Ability to keep attention	8,8	+
3.4	Problem solving ability	8,8	+
3.5	Level of English	9,6	+

## Tutorial

Nr.	Aspects	Value	Conclusion
7.1	Attendance (more than half of the tutorials)	100%	n/a
7.2	Valuable feedback	7,2	+
7.3	Useful for understanding study materials	7,3	+
7.4	Ability to apply study materials	7,0	+

## Examination

Nr.	Aspects	Value	Conclusion
10.1	Evaluation criteria were clear	6,3	+/-
10.2	Examination form suits used teaching forms	8,0	+
10.3	Level of exam appropriate for level of course	7,8	+

## Final assessment

Nr.	Aspects	Value	Conclusion
11.1	Evaluation of course	7,7	+

\*Conclusion is based on a 10-point scale or percentage (see the table below).

Classification		Conclusion	Action steps
$X < 5,5$	$X < 55\%$	Below the norm	Needs improvement
$5,5 \leq X < 6,5$	$55\% \leq X < 65\%$	Within the norm	A point of attention
$X \geq 6,5$	$X \geq 65\%$	Above the norm	A strong point

### ***Open questions***

Following is a summary of the answers to the open questions. Between parentheses is the number of respondents mentioned. When this is not mentioned, the number of respondents is one.

#### **Strong points:**

- Enthusiastic lecturer (2x)
- Knowledge of lecturer
- Discussions (2x)
- Up-to-date topic

#### **Suggestions for improvement:**

- Be more practical
- Lower quantity of workload
- Split up some topics
- PowerPoint presentations (2x)