

### INTEGRATED SCHEDULING OF DRAYAGE AND LONG-HAUL TRANSPORT

#### Arturo E. Pérez Rivera & Martijn R.K. Mes

Department of Industrial Engineering and Business Information Systems University of Twente, The Netherlands







Background

- Problem description
  - Mathematical models
- Solution approach
  - Heuristics and their integration
- • Numerical experiments
- ••• Conclusions



### BACKGROUND [1/3]

INTERMODAL TRANSPORT PROCESSES: DRAYAGE AND LONG-HAUL



# "In an intermodal transport chain, the initial and final trips represent 40% of total transport costs."

Escudero, A.; Muñuzuri, J.; Guadix, J. & Arango, C. (2013) Dynamic approach to solve the daily drayage problem with transit time uncertainty. *Computers in Industry* 

\*Source of artwork: Europe Container Terminals "The future of freight transport". www.ect.nl UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.



## BACKGROUND [2/3]

CHARACTERISTICS OF SYNCHROMODAL FREIGHT TRANSPORT



- Mode-free booking for all freights.
- Network-wise scheduling at any point in time.
- Real-time information about the state of the network.
- Overall performance in both network and time.





\*Source of artwork: European Container Terminals (ECT) – The future of freight transport (2011). UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.



### BACKGROUND [3/3]

EXAMPLE TRADE-OFF: TRANSPORT OF CONTAINERS TO/FROM THE HINTERLAND



 $\square$ 

### PROBLEM DESCRIPTION [1/2]

INTEGRATED SCHEDULING OF DRAYAGE AND LONG-HAUL TRANSPORT



 Schedule: when, and how, to transport each freight to achieve minimum costs over the network and over time.

## PROBLEM DESCRIPTION [2/2]

INTEGRATED SCHEDULING OF DRAYAGE AND LONG-HAUL TRANSPORT

A stochastic optimization problem over a finite horizon where:

- Random drayage freights with different characteristics arrive.
- Sequential schedules are made for the drayage and longhaul transport processes.





#### **MATHEMATICAL MODEL [1/3]** OPTIMIZATION OF DRAYAGE OPERATIONS AND TERMINAL ASSIGNMENT

Drayage operations are modeled as a Full-Truckload Pickup-and-Delivery Problem with Time-Windows (FTPDPTW):

 Additional objective: terminal (long-haul) assignment cost that depends on long-haul freights at each terminal and the assignment decision of freights picked-up.

#### Scheduling Drayage Operations in Synchromodal Transport

Arturo E. Pérez Rivera and Martijn R.K. Mes

Department of Industrial Engineering and Business Information Systems University of Twente, P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands {a.e.perezrivera,m.r.k.mes}@utvente.nl

Abstract. We study the problem of scheduling drappar operations in superstrandoid trappart. Besides the usual decisions to time the pick-up and delivery of containers, and in roots the whileful that transport thiom, and the study of containers, and in roots the whileful that transport theory and belavery that the study of the study in the study of the study containers the study of the study o

 ${\bf Keywords:}$  Drayage operations, synchromodal transport, matheuristic

#### 1 Introduction

During the bat years, intermodal transport has revived increased attention from assembling industrial, and governmental tableholder due to potential reductions in cost and environmental impact [10]. To achieve such basefils, these stadeholdter and the state of the table state of the table state of the table state of the form of intermodal transport through flexibility in the check of nodes and in a intermodal transport the state of the stat

a assignment (i.e., nog-man mode) occasions. Drayage operations in intermodal transport include delivery and pick-up renests of either empty or loaded containers, to and from a terminal where longsul modes arrive and depart. These operations occur, for example, at a Logistic

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017 T. Bektaş et al. (Eds.), ICCL 2017, LNCS 10572, pp. 404–419, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68496-3\_27

#### **UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE**

In addition to the bound on the number of area between all terminal nodes  $1^{10}$ , we can bound the traversed area between replicated node of a terminal using a similar logic. We define the set  $\mathcal{V}_{1}^{\rm PL} \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{\rm D}$  at the set containing all deplicated nodes of terminal de  $\ell P$ . We put a bound  $M_{2}^{\rm H}$  for each unique terminal node  $d \in H^{O}$  as shown in (4).

A.E. Pérez Rivera, M.R.K. Me

 $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_d^{\mathrm{DI}}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_d^{\mathrm{DI}}} x_{i,j,k} \leq M_d^{\mathrm{DI}}, \; \forall \; d \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{D}}$  $M_d^{Dl} = \sum_{r \in VB} \sum_{r,i \in DB} B_{r,i} | B_{r,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in \delta^-(r) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \forall d \in U^D$ 

Taking advantage that our problem deals with jobs that have at most one origin and a most one domination, we can compute a minimum traveling distance and traveling time to fulfill all jobs by choosing the origin and distinsticity with the the minimum mumber  $M^{\rm Lin}$  of travels needed (nice traveling have a maximum working time) and a lower bound on the routing costs  $M^{\rm Lin}$ . Furthermore, using a constructive boundities (e.g., the one we benchmark to no list c<sup>1</sup>), so can find the origin of the solution of the solution of travels as shown in (5) and the routing costs as shown in (6).

 $M^{LK} \le \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{j \in A' + (B_{n})} \pi_{B_{k},j,k} \le M^{UK}$ 

 $M^{LC} \le \sum_{k \in K} \left( C_k^{V} \cdot \sum_{j \in \delta^{i} + \{B_k\}} x_{B_k j, k} \right) + \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{(i,j) \in A^{i}} C_{i,j,k}^{i} \cdot x_{i,j,k} \le M^{UC}$  (6) The last adaptation we introduce is the pre-processing of time-windows. In

In the dimensionless of the structure is the pre-producting to the transmission of the structure is the pre-producting the structure is the s



(2017). Scheduling Drayage Operations in Synchromodal Transport. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 10572, pp. 404-419. Springer. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-68496-3\_27

Pérez Rivera, A.E., Mes, M.R.K.



#### **MATHEMATICAL MODEL [2/3]** OPTIMIZATION OF LONG-HAUL TRANSPORT UNDER UNCERTAINTY

Long-haul transport is modeled as a Markov Decision Process (MDP) :

 Arrival probabilities of long-haul freight at the terminals (i.e., origins of the high-capacity modes) depend on drayage decisions.



Pérez Rivera, A.E., Mes, M.R.K. (2016). Anticipatory Freight Selection in Intermodal Long-haul Round-trips. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review.* Volume 105: pp. 176-194. Elsevier. DOI 10.1016/j.tre.2016.09.002



### **MATHEMATICAL MODEL [3/3]** OPTIMIZATION OF NETWORK-WISE COSTS WITH INTEGRATED DECISIONS

The goal is to *minimize the total expected network costs*, where the <u>drayage schedule depends on the long-haul policy</u>, and where the <u>long-haul policy depends on the arrivals from the drayage schedule</u>.

$$\min_{\pi \in \Pi} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \left( z_t^{\mathrm{D}} \left( x_{t,\pi}^{\mathrm{D}} \right) + z_t^{\mathrm{L}} \left( x_{t,\pi}^{\mathrm{L}} \right) \right) \left| s_0^{\mathrm{L}}, \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{D}}, \Gamma \right] \\ \text{where} \\ x_{t,\pi}^{\mathrm{D}} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{x_t^{\mathrm{D}} \in \mathcal{X}_t^{\mathrm{D}}} \left[ \tilde{z}_{t,\pi}^{\mathrm{D}} \left( x_t^{\mathrm{D}} \right) \right] \\ \Gamma \left( \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{D}}, \left[ x_{t,\pi}^{\mathrm{D}} \right]_{\forall t \in \mathcal{T}} \right) = \mathcal{P}_{\pi}^{\mathrm{L}} \\ \Gamma \left( \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{D}}, \left[ x_{t,\pi}^{\mathrm{D}} \right]_{\forall t \in \mathcal{T}} \right) = \mathcal{P}_{\pi}^{\mathrm{L}} \\ \text{Legend:} \\ \stackrel{\text{Legend:}}{\longrightarrow} \text{Drayage freights} \xrightarrow{\bullet} \text{Long-haul costs} \\ \stackrel{\text{Log-haul freights}}{\longrightarrow} \text{Ereight arrivals probabilities} \\ \text{UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.} \\ 10$$



### **SOLUTION APPROACH [1/3]** HEURISTICS FOR THE DRAYAGE SCHEDULE AND LONG-HAUL POLICY

We use a math-Heuristic (MH) for the FTPDPTW and Approximate Dynamic Programming (ADP) for the MDP:

- The *math-heuristic* algorithm uses various cuts based on the assignment cost resulting from the Value Function Approximation (VFA) of ADP.
- The approximate dynamic programming algorithm learns the VFA based on the observed distributions from a simulation of the problem using the integrated MH.



### **SOLUTION APPROACH [2/3]** INTEGRATION OF THE TWO HEURISTICS



There are two challenges in our approach:

- 1. The overall probability distributions must be mapped to the longhaul probabilities based on drayage scheduling observations.
- 2. The assessment of when the VFA is good enough involves the analysis of the total costs and the stability of drayage and long-haul scheduling decisions.

### **SOLUTION APPROACH [3/3]** INTEGRATION OF THE TWO HEURISTICS





### NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS: SETUP [1/2]

**PROBLEM INSTANCE** 



*Freight demand:* 20 freights per day (≈Poisson dist.)

Drayage location: Random (R) or Clustered (C). Drayage type: Pre-haulage (P) or End-haulage (E). Long-haul Destinations: Balanced (B) or Unbalanced (U).



#### NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS: SETUP [2/2] EXPERIMENTAL PHASES

We divide the experiments in two phases:

- Calibration phase: we study the tuning of four parameters of ADP related to the learning of the VFA, i.e., long-haul policy and terminal assignment costs in the drayage scheduling.
- 2. Evaluation phase: we study the cost savings of our approach and compare them to the use of a non-integrated benchmark approach commonly found in practice.
- We use our sequential integration approach (i.e., single iteration) to derive the long-haul policy and terminal assignment costs.
- We use simulation (and common random numbers) to evaluate the two scheduling approaches.



### NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS: RESULTS [1/3]

CALIBRATION PHASE – PARAMETERS FOCUS ON DRAYAGE OR LONG-HAUL



Figure 6.10: Total Costs C-P-U

Figure 6.11: Individual Costs C-P-U

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

16



### NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS: RESULTS [2/3]

EVALUATION PHASE: NORMAL COST SETUP





### NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS: RESULTS [3/3]

**EVALUATION PHASE: COST SENSITIVITY** 

Table 6.5: Percentage difference with the benchmark in high drayage-cost setup

Instance	Costs			Long-haul	Pre-haulage to
	Total	Long-haul	Drayage	Utilization	closest terminal
R-P-U	3%	-12%	6%	4%	5%
R-P-B	5%	-5%	7%	0%	4%
R-E-U	13%	-62%	29%	-55%	-72%
R-E-B	12%	-63%	30%	-55%	-74%
C-P-U	-9%	50%	-20%	-30%	18%
C-P-B	-12%	38%	-23%	-27%	21%
C-E-U	4%	-64%	19%	-55%	-71%
C-E-B	3%	-64%	18%	-55%	-73%





We proposed the *integration of a MH for drayage scheduling* and an ADP for long-haul scheduling through (i) the inclusion of long-haul assignment costs in drayage decisions, and (ii) an improved VFA in the long-haul decisions.

Preliminary results show that *our integrated scheduling approach performs up to 38% better than separated scheduling* in terms of total network costs, with larger drayage costs.

• Further *research on the integration mechanisms of the MH* and ADP, and their calibration, is necessary to achieve the most of integrated scheduling in synchromodal transport. UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.



### THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION! ARTURO E. PÉREZ RIVERA

PhD Candidate

Department of Industrial Engineering and Business Information Systems

University of Twente, The Netherlands

https://www.utwente.nl/bms/iebis/staff/perezrivera/

a.e.perezrivera@utwente.nl

National OML Conference 2018 - Friday, April 13<sup>th</sup> Soesterberg, The Netherlands