

# UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

## Faculty of Behavioural, Management and Social sciences (BMS)

### Members Faculty Council

From Jasmine Verenjans Telephone +31 (0)53 – 489 3611  
Our reference CPE-UP-18.005 e-mail j.n.verenjans@utwente.nl  
Date 16 May 2018

**Subject ANNOTATED AGENDA FACULTY COUNCIL MEETING 22 MAY**

1. Welcome and opening
2. Minutes last meeting (hopefully available soon!)
3. Announcements by the FC chair
  - a. we will discuss the OERs in the meeting of July 3. There is NO UT agreement on the 'richtlijnen OER' at UT level.
  - b. I asked the FB for an official response to the suggestions made by the exam commission (see previous meeting).
  - c. The follow up plans for the quality of education will be available in September 2018.
  - d. The yearly update about the Quality assurance cycle (PCDA) will be available in June.
4. Announcements FB / questions for the Board
  - a. STAVAZA cluster formation and secretaries (I asked Marion to inform us about this topic)
  - b. STAVAZA new privacy protocols and regulations. The law changes soon and seems to have extensive consequences for research (which is pretty well covered by the 'ethics commission' it seems) and for education and communication with the staff. Can the FB explain the policies of BMS to inform staff about the changes in this context?
5. Plan van aanpak werkdruk voor BMS (in Dutch only)

The FC is happy about the fact that the FB is developing a policy to reduce excessive workload. The FC would like to have an extended and more detailed version of this policy (in English) and the results thereof to be discussed in upcoming FC meetings. The FC is wondering whether the (size, distribution and causes of) problems of excessive workload within BMS are known. The introduction is now about the UT as a whole. To what extent is the board informed about these topics for BMS more specifically?



In addition the FC likes to see not only general policy aims, but also more specific policy goals, measures and deadlines. In what way, for example, does the board plan to introduce capacity planning at the level of individual employees?

In the FC meeting we would also like to discuss the meaning and implications of some of the other measures suggested in the plan. For example, having a new ARBO service may indeed give additional opportunities do things better. But what are these opportunities and why does the board think this will be better.

6. Round of questions before closure of the meeting
7. End of the meeting

