

General comments from the Examination Board Management Sciences on the BSc IEM and MSc IEM Programme Specific Appendix (PSA) to the EER 2017-2018

- 1) An overall remark. To align the EER and related documents/regulations for all users, the Examination Board Management Sciences advises that the Programme Director (PD) takes the glossary from the EER as the basis. (For example the word Examination is used, where in EER this is defined as Final Degree Audit, also see test/exam, etc.)
- 2) Transparent information for students. Modules titles are not always distinct; the Examination Board advises to the PD to revise them.
- 3) The PD is advised to describe all modules in a uniform manner (for example, the information in the current version of PSA is not always consistent with the description in Osiris and diploma supplement).
- 4) Following the hardship clause EER (art. 8.7) it is the jurisdiction of the Examination Board to decide whether students are allowed to take an extra test/repair. Currently, PSA conflicts with the EER in this matter. The Examination Board advises the PD to apply the UT-wide EER to PSA regarding the repairs.
- 5) The Examination Board advises the PD to strengthen the motivation and description of the interdependencies between module components. The Board has noticed that, next to Math, also learning lines Methodology & Statistics, and Skills read as separate components.
- 6) Art. 3.5 Prior Knowledge – The Examination Board advises the PD to clarify the jurisdiction of the study advisor (counselor = with CES). Besides this the Examination Board advises the programme director to explain or mention the possible mandate regarding this arrangement where the study adviser decides on behalf of the programme director.
- 7) 4.3 last bullet says that the student can organize a thesis project on his/her own. It is not clear to the Examination Board which conditions are set for the students to be allowed to do this, and how this is implemented in the safeguarding of assessment quality. The Board advises the PD to include conditions regarding this possibility, and to include them in the SAQ trajectory.
- 8) Programme committee: only three tasks of the committee are mentioned– the Examination Board advises to include the new task for the programme committee per September 1st, 2017: *to advise (the programme director) on stimulating and ensuring the quality of the degree programme*"(WHW art 9.18).
- 9) 6.1.1. jurisdiction of the Examination Board: extra test opportunities are to be approved by the Examination Board (EER, art. 8.7) see point 4 above. The PD is advised to change this article in the PSA accordingly.
- 10) The Examination Board advises the PD to re-consider the large number of ILO's per module (sometimes more than 30) and streamline their formulation. In the current form, the Board

sees Assessment of more than 300 formulated ILOs as the high risk for the Safeguarding Quality Assessment.

General comments from the Examination Board Management Sciences on the IEM Programme Specific Appendix (PSA) to the EER 2017-2018

- 1) An overall remark: to clarify the EER and connected documents/regulations for all users, the Examination Board Management Sciences advises a consistent use of definitions, to take the definitions in the EER as a basis.
(For example the word Examination is used, where in EER this is defined as Final Degree Audit, also see test/exam, etc.)
- 2) Art. 2.6 – The honours programmes, the Examination Board advises the Programme Director to clarify the assessment of these programmes, and to implement them in the safeguarding assessment trajectory with the Examination Board.