

Development of educators' data literacy through the data use intervention

Learning how to use data: data literacy, personal knowledge, and beliefs

Wilma B. Kippers Cindy L. Poortman Kim Schildkamp Adrie J. Visscher

ICSEI, Ottawa, January 7th, 2017



Introduction

- Data-based decision making (Ikemoto & Marsh, 2007; Schildkamp & Lai, 2013)
- making educational decisions
- based on data
- \rightarrow using data in a formative way
- \rightarrow adapting education
- → can enhance student achievement

(Hoogland et al., 2016; Lai, Wilson, McNaughton, & Hsiao, 2014; Van der Kleij, Vermeulen, Schildkamp, & Eggen, 2015; Van Geel, Keuning, Visscher, & Fox, 2016)



Introduction

- Data literacy: broad and complex concept, no consensus yet
- Educators' ability to
- set goals,
- collect data,
- analyze data,
- interpret data,
- take actions.

(Hamilton et al., 2009; Keuning & van Geel, 2016; Lai & Schildkamp, 2013; Mandinach & Gummer, 2016a; 2016b)



Introduction

- Problem statement
- little attention for data literacy in teacher training colleges
- many educators are not data literate
- research on data literacy development is scarce
- Aim
- obtain more detailed insight into data literacy components
- more precise description of educators' data literacy development through and during a data use intervention



Theoretical framework



- Teams 4-6 teachers, 1-2 school leaders, and data expert
- Work on a problem: e.g., poor final examination results, low student achievement in 3rd grade
- Supported by a coach, every 3 weeks, manual guide,
- One year data use intervention

Theoretical framework



Bocala & Boudett, 2015; Coburn & Turner, 2011; Earl & Katz, 2006; Hamilton et al., 2009; Keuning & van Geel, 2016; Lai & Schildkamp, 2013; Mandinach & Gummer, 2016a; 2016b; Marsh, 2012; Means, Chen, DeBarger, & Padilla, 2011)



Theoretical framework

Research question: How does educators' data literacy develop during the data use intervention?





Method

- Mixed-methods approach
- Single-group pre-post research design
- 6 Dutch schools: September 2015 October 2016
- Data literacy test: prior to and after intervention (27 data team members)
- Logbooks: during intervention (coach)
- Data team evaluations: half-way intervention (33 data team members)
- Interviews: after intervention (12 data team members)



Method

- Descriptive analyses: logbooks, data team evaluations, interviews
 - Coding scheme
 - Atlas.ti
 - Substantial Cohen's Kappa of 0.71
- Paired samples t-test: data literacy test
 - SPSS
 - Substantial Cohen's Kappa of 0.68



Results

- Data team members scored significantly higher (M=10.4; SD=2.58) on the posttest than pretest (M=8.4; SD=3.04)
 - P = .005 (significant)
 - Effect size = 0.59 (medium)

Data literacy pre-test	Data literacy post-test
M = 8.4 (SD=3.04)	M = 10.4 (SD=2.58)



Results – Set goals

'Where are we going? What is the goal, and when do we want to reach the goal?'

Educators learned to:

- Start with goals, before collecting data, otherwise you get lost in the amount of data
- Formulate when you are dissatisfied
- Define concepts, otherwise you cannot measure it
- Formulate concrete goals, include target group and time frame
- Formulate measureable goals, include numbers or percentages
- Involve colleagues in setting goals

'What is disappointing? Is it that 10% of students score insufficient? Is it 50%?'



'Filter the correct

cohort, class of

students, subject



Results – Collect data

Educators learned to:

- area, and tests' Collect enough data, are several cohorts used?
- Collect existing data, what data are available in the school?
- Identify various data sources, e.g., student monitoring system
- Find accurate data, where are the data about?
- Distinguish between quantitative and qualitative data
- Develop a consolidation table, to display the data
- Generate data, e.g., developing questionnaires and interviews
- Make arrangements: who collects the data? When?



'That you should

judge the data (...)

reliable, valid?'

is the data



Results – Analyze data

Educators learned to:

- Look at the data, what do you notice at first glance?
- Create a data table in Excel
- Use statistical functions in Excel, e.g., correlation, minimum, maximum, median, mean, standard deviation
- Control the quality of data, e.g., reliability and validity
- Summarize interview data



Results – Interpret data

Educators learned to:

- Give meaning to data
- Not use intuition when interpreting data
- Interpret with other data team members, different insights?
- Draw conclusions, check whether goals are reached
- Refute misconceptions

'We identify the impact on the average level for reading literacy'

'After doing research, we found out that it [assumption] was not true'



Results – Take action

Educators learned to:

Focus on one action at a time

'The action does not have to be drastic (...) small things can also affect'

- Implement actions that are concrete and feasible
- Implement actions that not necessary have to lead to huge changes in classrooms
- Implement actions that are linked to the goals
- Use various sources of information to choose the action, e.g., literature, teachers of other schools, colleagues
- Involve other colleagues in taking action, communicate

'You must have support from other colleagues to take action'





Conclusion and discussion

- Educators developed their data literacy: knowledge test showed medium effect, qualitative data showed learning on the five components of data literacy
- Role of teacher training college in developing educators' data literacy
- Effects on actions educators take in the classroom
- Effects on student achievement
- Sustainability of data use/data literacy



Thank you for your attention

- Any questions?
- For further questions please contact w.b.kippers@utwente.nl