

Attendees: van de Belt, Brouwer (minutes), Daggenvoorde, Damgrave (chairman), Dierkes, Entrop, de Lange, Overmars
Absent: Bos, Rezek,

1, 2 Opening, approval of the agenda & selection minutes secretary

Agenda:

1. Opening
2. Adoption of the agenda & minutes secretary
3. Correspondence
4. Announcements
5. Approval minutes internal meeting
232th FC-meeting 2015-01-20.pdf
6. Approval minutes external meeting
FR-CTW099.pdf
7. Strategy UT
Tenure Track meeting
8. Chair Design Creativity & Research – Interaction Design
9. Education
Results module 1 and 5
'Richtlijnen OER' + process
10. Research
11. Horst – Engineering ideas
12. Any other business (AOB)
13. External communication
14. Question round
15. Closure

3. Correspondence

4. Announcements

5. Approval minutes internal meeting

Entrop wants the correspondence part a bit sharper, he provides a new version. The negative points of the Tenure track should not be in the minutes this way. Please remove them.
 Various typos: r42, r63, Brouwer(s)

6. Approval minutes external meeting

Vd Belt: part on TGS what did Dewulf mean exactly, and is the website of TGS up to date?

7. Strategy UT

Brouwer attended the tenure track (TT) meeting. Dewulf is heading a central TT evaluation committee, which collects all positive and negative feedback on TT. Main advantage of having tenure tracks is the attraction it has on external excellent researchers coming to the UT. On the negative side there are several points. Overall it seems the advantages outweigh the disadvantages. Therefore there will be new open tenure track positions. However not all scientific open positions will be tenure tracks. And it could be that the past expectation that all tenure trackers will go for a full prof will be dropped. An evaluation somewhat later will reveal probably.

8. Chair Design Creativity & Research – Interaction Design

This document is a modified version from the 'structuurrapport' follow up of the chair of Eger. In a new round Dewulf wants to have the position open quickly without going through the normal process of getting a new report for this full Prof. position. This quick process is allowed if only

minor changes are made to the previous “structuurrapport”. The FR is asked give their opinion on the slightly changed “structuurrapport”. Also in light of the overall future plan of 6 chairs at Design Engineering this open position is made to fit in now. The FR notices some typos: part 4. “has holds”, “expected”, indentation changes, and why not have the position open for tenure track Adj Profs of HGL1 as well.

9. Education

Results module 1 and 5

Damgrave has obtained a document reporting the percentages of students passing through to the second and third year of the educations DE, ME and CIT. All in all it is difficult to conclude much. The percentages vary a lot over the past 4 years, and TOM is show more or less the same numbers.

‘Richtlijnen OER’ + process

The new concept version of OER to review by the educations and UR is out on time.

The idea of TOM is that there is one central version of OER for all educations. However, it seems that many educations are customizing some rules. For example A student can pass to the second year when having completed 3 modules. However ME wants to allow students to pass to the second year too when something like 45 EC (equivalent) are scored out of 4 modules. (with some selection criteria). The question for the FR is what do we think about this tendency? Officially it is the UR to report on OER.

De Lange, what is meant with “attitude” in art.3.2 2.b. How do you grade that?

9. Research

10. External communication

11. AOB (any other business)

Action items (nr. 1-4 taken from the 229th FR internal meeting):

Nr	Subject	Date	Who	Progress
2014-228-01	External person for minutes	18-11-2014	Damgrave	In progress
2014-228-02	Training possibilities FC members	18-11-2014	Damgrave	No news
2014-230-01	Contacting Maria to ask if the chairs are aware of the coffee corner question	16-12-2014	Damgrave	In progress
2015-231-02	Minutes of last 5 meetings to website	06-01-2015	Brouwer	
2015-231-05	Promote the ‘ideëenbus’ the study association members.	06-01-2015	Student members	
2015-231-06	Update minutes of the FR-site	06-01-2015	Damgrave	
2015-232-01	Gather information about HR issues	20-01-2014	Everybody	
2015-232-02	Plan a meeting with FR, HR and the dean	20-01-2014	Damgrave	
2015-233-01	Update minutes 232. Correspondence a bit sharper. Negative points Tenure track out of minutes. Various typos: r42, r63, Brouwer(s)	3-02-2015	Entrop / Rezek	