

Attendees: Damgrave (chairman), Brouwer, Entrop, Dierkes, Daggenvoorde, de Lange, Bos (minutes secretary)

Absent: Overmars, Rezek, vd Belt

1, 2 Opening, approval of the agenda & selection minutes secretary

Agenda:

1. Opening
12:30

2. Approval of the agenda & minutes secretary
The agenda is approved

3. Correspondence

Mail UReka: UReka only wants to have a meeting with the student member of the FC. The student member will let the others know what has been discussed. The meeting will take place on Thursday the 16th of October from 16:00 – 17:30 hr.

4. Announcements

- *Daggenvoorde announces that he will be in Brasil in about a month. He will look for a replacing person.*
- *Damgrave can't be at the next meeting. Overmars will take his place as he is vice-chairman. Damgrave will send Overmars an email about this. (AP)*
- *Daggenvoorde asks everyone to mail him before 9:00 am if you don't join the meeting of that day, because of the lunch orders.*

5. Approval minutes internal meeting

- *The minutes should only conclude conclusions to make it easier to read.*

6. Approval minutes external meeting

- Line 33: *the standard contract should more about concealment (geheimhouding). It can also be more concrete. Overall it is very complete.*

Entrop acknowledges that the hall pass (nachtpas) procedure is indeed not clear.

Brouwer advises to aim more at the motivation of supporting personnel, because he thinks that these people seem less motivated because they feel like they are stuck in their salary scale. Everyone agrees and we will ask about a policy for this at the external meeting.

7. Strategy UT UT Tenure Track - 20140919 Tenure Track (def).pdf

This document is just for our own knowledge about the subject. One thing is noticed though: A tenure should not get the feeling that having done everything of the tenure track list is sufficient. People should also become part of the faculty for example.

8. Jaarplan en begroting 2015

- A-14 7628.docx
- A-14 7628 appendix.docx

Pagina 2: *'Het Design Lab zorgt voor belangrijke impuls onderzoek Design' is a dangerous sentence according to Damgrave, because it was first said that it would be used for education and now also for research. Conclude: we should ask what we want with the designlab (AP).*

Also we noticed that we should look after the costs of the lab. Which raises the question: to what extent is the faculty involved with the design lab.

Pagina 5: *'CTW wil digitalisatie uitbreiden' is also a dangerous sentence.*

Pagina 6: *There's a lot of discussion about the English Bachelors. We should ask Dewulf why we first had a vision that was against the internationalisation and why this has changed into the opposite now.*

Also we want to warn about other aspects of education like BOZ. This should also be in English. We question whether this is possible before 2020.

Pagina 7: *The question mark behind 'nauwelijks' shouldn't stand there.*

The amount of BHV'ers is still a problem, because it has become less attractive to become one. We should invite Tyrone Klaver some time to join the meeting.

9. Education

We want stress that the workload of the teachers/professors is very high. We want to ask MT how we want to deal with this, because next to TOM we also want to do more in English, which comes with more workload.

The question we have to ask ourselves is: how can we make our argumentation better in showing that the workload of teachers/professors is very high?

- 65 10. Research
-
11. Any other business (AOB)
-
- 70 12. Externe communicatie
Action point for Wahbe.
13. Question round
Agenda point for next time: Efficiëntie HR and UT Flex.
- 75 14. Closure
Minutes secretary of the day...

3.**Action items:**

Nr	Subject	Date	Who	Progress
1	Take camera for a group picture	16-10-2012	Alex Bos	ongoing
2	Inform Overmars about the agenda for next meeting	7-10-2014	Damgrave	-

80