UNIVERSITEIT TWENTE.

MSc Robotics – Internship Assessment (202200120) [1]

Ver. 2025.1 (Sep '25)

Student Name:		**Number:**	
Examiner / Research Group [2]			
Plagiarism check [3]	☐ The report has been checked for plagiarism		

Assessment Criteria	Strong points and suggestions for improvement [4] [5]	Grade[6]
Report – Written Communication (30%) [7] • Problem statement • Context and existing work • Discussion and argumentation • Results and conclusions • Organisation and structure • Clarity / Language		
Process and Attitude (40%) * [8] • Work independently and goal-oriented under the guidance of a supervisor • Take initiative • Be flexible regarding problems / criticism • Acquire (new) technical skills • Demonstrate an adequate work attitude • Have good interaction and communication skills • Show adequate planning and organisation of work • Deliver intermediate results (project plan, demo) showing progress w.r.t content and time – in case the workflow of MSc Robotics projects is used		
Scientific Quality / Contents (30%) [7] • Interpret problem and translate it to more concrete project specifications. • Adequately realise the project * [8] • Demonstrate an adequate level of technical insight * • Use an adequate level of Knowledge * • Show creativity *		
	Overall Grade [9]	
Formalities Completed [10]		

Date 2/3 meeting [11]	
Date report handed in	
Date of Assessment	

Return form to Internship Office EEMCS.

Report is ***not*** published; therefore, it can be considered as confidential.

Please, attach the company-supervisor form to this pdf.

Assessment	Name	Signature
Examiner		

1 Relevant articles of the EER are A4.7, B4.5.
2 Use abbreviations including faculty, department, and research group, as used in the UT Peoples Pages and in Table 16 of EER-B.
3 Required! Suggested tool is *TurnItIn* (https://www.utwente.nl/en/educational-systems/about-the-applications/plagiarism-check/). In case of suspicion of fraud, send a report to the examination board including the plagiarism check results. They investigate further and decide on potential penalties.
4 Use additional empty pages if more space is needed for the elaboration. However, if doable, keep the form to 1 page.
5 To be filled out after consultation with the external supervisor from the company. See also endnote 8.
6 Round each to one decimal. Only the total grade must be ≥5.5 to pass.
Rubrics for suggestions for detailed grade interpretation yet to be formulated.
Generic indication of grades 4-10: 4: insufficient; 5: almost sufficient; 6: sufficient; 7: amply sufficient; 8: good; 9: very good; 10: excellent.
7 For assignments with a strong design component, please assess the scientific aspects of the design.
8 For starred items, input from company supervisor relevant here. Most notably the Process and Attitude.
9 Overall grade based on subgrades, rounded to "halves" (5.5 not allowed). See EER article A4.7, a spreadsheet is available to compute this grade.
10 To be checked and filled in by the Internship Office.
11 See EER Article B4.5, Paragraph 4.