

NAE year:	Report filter:	Number of respondents:	2017	2018	2019
2019	M-AP		8	5	11


### Study programme satisfaction

Study programme satisfaction (scale: 1 to 5)			Benchmark	
2019	2018	Question	UT	NL
4,18	4,20	How satisfied are you with your master's programme in general?	4,05 ▲ (0,13)	4,05 ▲ (0,13)
4,45	4,40	To what extent does your university master's create a good basis to enter the job market?	3,69 ▲ (0,76)	3,52 ▲ (0,93)
4,18	4,60	To what extent does your master's programme create a good basis for the further development of knowledge and skills?	3,98 ▲ (0,2)	3,91 ▲ (0,27)
▼ 3,80	4,60	The content of the study programme	4,00 ▼ (-0,2)	4,00 ▼ (-0,2)
▲ 4,00	3,60	The acquired general skills in the study programme.	3,93 ▲ (0,07)	3,84 ▲ (0,16)
4,50	4,80	The acquired scientific skills in the study programme	4,16 ▲ (0,34)	4,06 ▲ (0,44)

Cohesion of the study programme regarding: ... (scale: 1 to 5, 3 is optimal score)			Benchmark	
2019	2018	Question	UT	NL
2,90	2,80	The width of the study programme (too narrow ... too broad)	3,09 ▼ (-0,19)	3,09 ▼ (-0,19)
2,90	3,20	The depth of the study programme (too little ... too much)	2,84 ▲ (0,06)	2,80 ▲ (0,1)
3,00	3,00	The difficulty of the study programme (too easy ... too difficult)	2,91 ▲ (0,09)	2,92 ▲ (0,08)
2,90	3,20	The ratio theory versus practice (too theoretical ... too practical)	2,67 ▲ (0,23)	2,60 ▲ (0,3)
3,00	2,60	The substantive cohesion of the study programme (too little ... too much)	2,87 ▲ (0,13)	2,85 ▲ (0,15)
2,90	2,60	The international orientation in the study programme (too little ... too much)	2,79 ▲ (0,11)	2,76 ▲ (0,14)
▲ 3,50	2,80	The topical knowledge of teachers regarding the professional practice (too little ... too much)	3,63 ▲ (-0,13)	3,70 ▲ (-0,2)

Satisfaction regarding the relation to the professional practice (scale: 1 to 5)			Benchmark	
2019	2018	Question	UT	NL
3,00	2,40	The preparation for your professional career	3,19 ▼ (-0,19)	3,14 ▼ (-0,14)
▲ 3,10	2,40	Gaining experience for the professional practice	3,23 ▼ (-0,13)	3,16 ▼ (-0,06)
2,90	2,80	The contact with the professional practice (e.g. internships, guest speakers)	3,10 ▼ (-0,2)	3,28 ▼ (-0,38)
2,60	2,40	Information about the career opportunities	2,90 ▼ (-0,3)	3,01 ▼ (-0,41)

### Looking back, would you choose the same study programme (M-AP)?



Legend	2019	2018	Question	UT	NL
	Average yearly result of respondents of M-AP. Per category the arrows show the years' top risers and fallers.		Respective NAE question	Relative position (benchmark) of the 2019 result of M-AP compared to UT and NL averages.	

NAE year:	Report filter:	Number of respondents:	2017	2018	2019
2019	M-AP		8	5	11

Connection with labour market

After the study programme			Benchmark			
2019	2018	Question	UT		NL	
9%	0%	Are you currently searching for (different) paid work?	26%	▼ (-16,9%)	25%	▼ (-15,6%)
100%	100%	Do you have a paid job at the moment?	92%	▲ (7,7%)	93%	▲ (7,4%)
100%	100%	Have you done paid work since your graduation?	94%	▲ (5,9%)	94%	▲ (5,5%)
1,4	1,8	How many months between your graduation and your first paid job?	2,4	▼ (-1,04)	2,5	▼ (-1,18)


Average gross monthly salary




Satisfaction regarding the career (scale: 1 to 5)			Benchmark			
2019	2018	Question	UT		NL	
4,18	4,00	Does your job offer good career opportunities?	3,75	▲ (0,44)	3,71	▲ (0,47)
4,00	4,00	How satisfied are you with your current position / working activities?	3,96	▲ (0,04)	3,99	▲ (0,01)

Vertical- and horizontal mismatch (area of expertise / study level)			Benchmark			
2019	2018	Question	UT		NL	
91%	60%	My job is in the area of expertise of my study programme.	75%	▲ (16,1%)	73%	▲ (17,5%)
82%	100%	My job matches the level of my study programme.	55%	55%	62%	62%

Required area of expertise for the job (M-AP)



Required study programme level for the job (M-AP)




Research University = WO; University of Applied Sciences = HBO

Legend	2019	2018	Question	UT	NL
	Average yearly result of respondents of M-AP. Per category the arrows show the years' top risers and fallers.		Respective NAE question	Relative position (benchmark) of the 2019 result of M-AP compared to UT and NL averages.	

NAE year:	Report filter:	Number of respondents:	2017	2018	2019
2019	M-AP		8	5	11

Function / position (combined NAE results of M-AP of last 3 years)



Legend	2019	2018	Question	UT	NL
	Average yearly result of respondents of M-AP. Per category the arrows show the years' top risers and fallers.		Respective NAE question	Relative position (benchmark) of the 2019 result of M-AP compared to UT and NL averages.	