UNIVERSITY of York

A Reference Interpreter for the Graph Programming Language GP 2

Christopher Bak¹, Glyn Faulkner¹, Colin Runciman and Detlef Plump

Department of Computer Science, The University of York

Graphs as Models, April 2015

¹Supported by EPRSC Doctoral Training Grants.

Outline

• The GP 2 Language

• The GP 2 Reference Interpreter

- Motivation & Requirements
- Implementation
- Performance

• Conclusions and Future Work

Graph Programs

- Domain-specific language for graph-based structures
- User supplies the input graph and the graph transformation rules
- Small set of imperative control constructs to organise rule applications
- Non-deterministic execution
- Simple syntax and semantics to facilitate formal reasoning



$$\mathsf{Semantics}^1$$

$$\begin{split} & [\operatorname{Call}_1] \frac{G \Rightarrow_R H}{\langle R, G \rangle \to H} & [\operatorname{Call}_2] \frac{G \Rightarrow_R}{\langle R, G \rangle \to \text{fail}} \\ & [\operatorname{Alap}_1] \frac{\langle P, G \rangle \to^+ H}{\langle P!, G \rangle \to \langle P!, H \rangle} & [\operatorname{Alap}_2] \frac{\langle P, G \rangle \to^+ \text{fail}}{\langle P!, G \rangle \to G} \end{split}$$

Graphs G and H are states. fail is the failure state. R is a rule. P is a program.

¹The Design of GP2, Detlef Plump, EPTCS 82 (2012)

Transitive Closure

Main = link!



- Rule link applied as long as possible on the input graph.
- List labels used for generality.



- Always outputs a valid colouring.
- Minimal colouring not guaranteed because of non-determinism.

Main = init!; inc!
init(x: list)





Main = init!; inc!
init(x: list)

























Motivation

- Test correctness of later compiled implementations
- Fully implement non-determinacy
- Familiarise language implementers with the semantics of GP 2
- Identify any gaps or ambiguities in the semantics

Motivation

- Test correctness of later compiled implementations
- Fully implement non-determinacy
- Familiarise language implementers with the semantics of GP 2
- Identify any gaps or ambiguities in the semantics

Simplicity is an over-riding aim

- Speed and memory use are secondary concerns
- Sophistication is to be actively avoided if it complicates the implementation!

Requirements

General requirements:

- Quick to develop
- Easy to maintain and reason about
- Must be fast enough to do "useful work"

Requirements

General requirements:

- Quick to develop
- Easy to maintain and reason about
- Must be fast enough to do "useful work"

For a given program/host-graph pair...

- Generate all possible output graphs
- Produce all distinct output graphs up to isomorphism
- Output a single result

Requirements

Also, stand-alone tools:

- Isomorphism checker
- Host-graph generator
- Graph viewer

Implementation

- Based on the GP 2 semantics
- Written in Haskell²



²https://www.haskell.org/

Implementation



- Approx. 1000 SLOC
- Exploits distinctive features of Haskell to achieve conciseness:
 - list-comprehensions
 - lazy evaluation

GP 2

Performance

- Produce a fourth-generation Sierpinski triangle in 6.5 seconds
- A cyclic graph of 1000 nodes fails an acyclicity test in 1.8 seconds
- Transitive closure of a linear graph of 50 nodes takes 66 seconds
- Vertex colouring a 9x9 grid in one-result mode takes less than a second...
- ... but in all-result mode exceeds 5 minutes with only a 3x3 grid

A more detailed discussion of performance can be found in the paper

Conclusions

- We have developed a useful reference tool for our ongoing research
- Also useful ancillary tools:
 - GraphViz-based graph visualiser
 - Stand-alone isomorphism checker
 - Host-graph generator, based on hypergraph grammars
- Gained a clear understanding of the GP 2 semantics
- Become aware of some 'edge-cases' that might trip us up in our compiler work

Further work

- Better error reporting
- A performant compiler
- GUI program editor
- Formal verification against GP 2 semantics.

